

#### INTRODUCTION TO SUPERCONDUCTING QUBITS AND QUANTUM EXPERIENCE: A 5-QUBIT QUANTUM PROCESSOR IN THE CLOUD

**Hanhee Paik** 

**IBM Quantum Computing Group** 

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY USA



#### OUTLINE

#### TODAY

- Superconducting Josephson junction qubit: an artificial atom
- Circuit Quantum electrodynamics: Interaction between microwave light and artificial atoms

#### TOMORROW

Quantum Experience: A 5-qubit in the cloud



### INTRODUCTION TO SUPERCONDUCTING JOSEPHSON JUNCTION QUBIT

#### IBM.

#### **QUANTUM INFORMATION SYSTEMS**



#### **QUANTUM INFORMATION SYSTEMS**





# $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{ATOM} \rightarrow \textbf{SUPERCONDUCTING CIRCUIT};\\ \textbf{ELECTRON DEGREES OF FREEDOM} \rightarrow \\ \textbf{MACROSCOPIC CURRENTS AND VOLTAGES} \end{array}$

SIMPLEST EXAMPLE: SUPERCONDUCTING LC OSCILLATOR CIRCUIT



Sub-mm dimensions  $\implies$  L ~ nH, C ~ pF,  $\omega_r/2\pi$  ~ GHz  $\implies$  Lumped elements

ELECTRONIC FLUID SLOSHES BACK AND FORTH FROM ONE PLATE TO THE OTHER, NO INTERNAL DISSIPATION

#### **DEGREE OF FREEDOM IN ATOM vs CIRCUIT**

Rydberg atom

Superconducting LC oscillator



velocity of electron  $\rightarrow$  voltage (charge) across capacitor force on electron  $\rightarrow$  current (flux) through inductor

#### LC OSCILLATOR AS A QUANTUM CIRCUIT



#### SUPERCONDUCTING JOSEPHSON JUNCTION QUBIT

- Qubit (two level system) requires nonlinearity.
- No loss

#### **Superconducting Josephson Junction**

- Josephson junction provides a nonlinear element.
- Conducts electricity without resistance (no loss).
  - Couple two superconductors via oxide layer
  - Oxide layer acts as tunneling barrier



SC

~1nm barrier

SC

#### **JOSEPHSON JUNCTIONS – FEYNMAN'S MODEL**



 $\rho_{1(2)}$  density of electrons on either side of the SC

Equations of motion from a toy model

 $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2eV & K \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  *K*- tunneling energy 2eV - energy across the junction  $\dot{\gamma} = \frac{2eV}{\hbar} - \frac{2K\rho}{\hbar\rho_0\sqrt{1-\rho^2/\rho_0^2}}\cos(\gamma) \approx \frac{V}{\varphi_0}$  AC-Josephson effect  $I = \dot{\rho} = \frac{2K\rho_0}{\hbar} \sqrt{1 - \rho^2/\rho_0^2} \sin(\gamma) \approx I_c \sin(\gamma) \text{ DC-Josephson effect}$ 

#### THE JOSEPHSON JUNCTION HAMILTONIAN





Energy stored in the capacitor

Energy stored in the inductor

 $p_{\omega} =$ 

$$U_{K} = \frac{1}{2}CV^{2} = \frac{1}{2}C\left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^{2}\phi$$

 $U_P = \int IV dt = -E_J \cos \varphi$ 

V1 = 141.4 nm H 1 = 121.0 nm

60.00 К X 100 nm 5.8 mm 23 Sep 2011 9:11:51 0.0 ° 63.1 ° MQCO-092111A-18.tif

QCO-092111A

$$\left[\hat{\varphi},\hat{n}
ight]=i$$

#### Josephson Hamiltonian

What is the conjugate momentum 
$$P_j$$
 for  $\varphi$ ?  
 $_{\varphi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = C \left(\frac{\hbar}{2e}\right)^2 \dot{\varphi} = \frac{\hbar}{2e} CV = \frac{\hbar}{2e} (2en) = \hbar n$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \hat{H} = E_0 \\ \hline Charge \\ Fneroe$$

 $G_C(\hat{n} - \frac{q_{ext}}{2e})^2 - E_J \cos\hat{\varphi}$ ging Josephson Energy gy

© 2016 IBM Corporation



#### **THE CHARGE LIMIT**



FIRST coherent manipulation of SC qubit by Nakamura et. al. Nature 398, 786 (1999)



#### THE CHARGE LIMIT





#### THE TRANSMON





The transmon = <u>Capacitively-shunted Josephson junction qubit</u>

$$H = 4E_C(n - n_g)^2 - E_J \cos(\gamma)$$
  
where  $E_C = \frac{e^2}{2C_{\Sigma}}$  and  $n_g = \frac{C_g V_g}{2e}$ 

- *Engineering an artificial atom* with a capacitor and an inductor (JJ critical current).
- Depending on  $E_J/E_c$ , the transmon dynamics varies.

Koch et. al. PRA 76, 04319 (2007)

#### FROM A CHARGE REGIME TO A "TRANSMON" REGIME

- Increasing  $E_J/E_C$ 
  - Charge dispersion becomes flat
    - $\epsilon_m = E_{m0}(n_g = 1/2)$  $-E_{m0}(n_g = 0)$
  - anharmonicity decreases

$$\delta = \omega_{12} - \omega_{01}$$



Nowadays (2016),  $T_2 \sim 50000$  ns (50  $\mu$ s) to 200000 ns (200  $\mu$ s).

Koch et. al. PRA 76, 04319 (2007)

© 2016 IBM Corporation

#### **EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE TRANSMON**



Eigen functions similar to a harmonic oscillator – suggest a good basis is the harmonic oscillators eigenfunctions



#### LARGE E<sub>J</sub>/E<sub>C</sub> HAMILTONIAN

Expanding the cosine to 4<sup>th</sup> order gives

$$\hat{H}_{\rm tr} \approx \frac{\hat{Q}^2}{2\bar{C}_{\Sigma}} + \frac{\hat{\Phi}^2}{2L_J} - \frac{E_C\hat{\Phi}^4}{3Z_{\rm tr}^2\hbar^2} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Phi}^6)$$

where  $Z_{\rm tr} = \sqrt{L_J/\bar{C}_{\Sigma}} = (\hbar/e^2)\sqrt{E_C/2E_J}$ 

Defining dimensionless variables  $\hat{x} = \sqrt{1/\hbar Z_{tr}} \hat{\Phi}$  and  $\hat{y} = \sqrt{Z_{tr}/\hbar} \hat{Q}$ 

$$\hat{H}_{\rm tr} = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}(\hat{y}^2 + \hat{x}^2) - \frac{E_C \hat{x}^4}{3}$$

where  $\omega_0 = 1/\sqrt{\bar{C}_{\Sigma}L_J} = \sqrt{8E_CE_J}/\hbar$  Qubit frequency engineered by  $E_c$  and  $E_j$  (capacitance and inductance)

This is just a weakly anharmonic oscillator ( $\omega_0 >> Ec$ )



#### **IMPROVEMENTS IN COHERENCE TIMES**



- Understand charge noise e.g. [1]
- 3D transmon [5]
- IR Shielding [6,7],
- Cold cavities & cold qubits [8]
- High Q cavities [9]
- Materials e.g. [2,10]
- Design and geometries [4,10]
- Microwave environment [3]

Koch *et. al.* PRA **76**, 04319 (2007)
 J. Martinis *et al.*, PRL **95** 210503 (2005)
 Houck *et. al.* PRL **101**, 080502 (2008)
 K. Geerlings *et al.*, APL **100**, 192601 (2012)
 H. Paik *et al.*, PRL **107**, 240501 (2011)
 R. Barends *et al.*, APL **99**, 113507 (2011)
 R. Corcoles *et al.*, APL **99**, 181906 (2011)
 C. Rigetti *et al.*, PRB **86**, 100506 (2012)
 M. Reagor *et al.*, APL **102**, 192604 (2013)
 C. Chang *et al.* APL **103**, 012602 (2013)



Quality factor of the transmon qubit > 2M routinely achievable

(1) Noise threshold for 2D fault tolerant computation assuming 30-100ns gate time



#### SUPERCONDUCTING QUBITS IN 3D CAVITIES: MITIGATING SURFACE LOSSES



- Big features predicted to have higher Q (less surface contribution)
  - But radiation also increasingly worse
  - flux trapping quickly can be an issue
- <u>Placing a large qubit in a 3D microwave cavity</u> mitigates both surface and radiation losses with decreased surface participation.

Paik et al. PRL, 107, 240501 (2011)



## INTERACTING WITH SUPERCONDUCTING QUBIT CIRCUIT QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS (CQED)



#### CAVITY QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS (CQED)



2g = vacuum Rabi freq.  $\kappa =$  cavity decay rate  $\gamma =$  "transverse" decay rate

Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar \omega_c a^+ a + \frac{\hbar \omega_q}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \hbar g (a \hat{\sigma}^+ + a^+ \hat{\sigma}^-)$$



#### CIRCUIT QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS (cQED)

Theory: Blais et al., Phys. Rev. A 69, 062320 (2004)



Strong coupling achieved!

#### IBM

#### **THE JAYNES CUMMINGS HAMILTONIAN**

The resonator can be represented by a simple harmonic osc.

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{r}} = \hbar \omega_r \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}$$
 with  $\omega_r / 2\pi = 6 - 10 \text{ GHz}$ 

The voltage is given by

$$\hat{V}_r = V_{\rm rms}^0(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger)$$

$$V_{\rm rms}^0 = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_r^2 Z_r/2} \approx 3\mu V$$

The interaction with the resonator is described by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{\rm int} &= -\frac{C_g \hat{V}_r \hat{Q}}{C_{\Sigma}} = \hbar g (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}) (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger}) \\ \end{aligned}$$
where
$$g &= -\beta \omega_r \sqrt{\frac{Z_r}{4Z_{\rm tr}}} = -\beta \omega_r e \sqrt{\frac{Z_r}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{E_J}{8E_C}\right)^{1/4} \approx 10 - 500 \text{ MHz} \end{aligned}$$

It is possible to reach the strong coupling regime



### **THE STRONG COUPLING REGIME** $H_{\text{tot}} = \hbar \omega_r a^{\dagger} a + \hbar \omega b^{\dagger} b + \frac{1}{2} b^{\dagger} b (b^{\dagger} b - 1) + \hbar g (b^{\dagger} a + b a^{\dagger})$





$g/2\pi = 120$ MHz
$\kappa/2\pi = 45~\mathrm{MHz}$
$\gamma/2\pi = 1~\mathrm{MHz}$

Wallraff et al. Nature, 431, 162 (2004)



#### THE (Ultra-Strong) DISPERSIVE LIMIT

Solving to second order after a dispersive approximation

$$|\omega_r - \omega| \gg |g| \qquad H_{\text{tot}}^D = \hbar \omega_r a^{\dagger} a + \hbar \sum_i (\tilde{\omega}_j + \chi_j a^{\dagger} a) |j\rangle \langle j|$$

 $\chi$  is AC Stark shift: a state-dependent qubit or cavity frequency shift





#### MICROWAVE CONTROLS OF TRANSMON: SINGLE QUBIT GATES



A microwave drive V is applied to the qubit through a resonator

**Driving Hamiltonian** 

$$\hat{H}_{d} = V\hat{Q} = VQ_{ZPF}(\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{+}) = \hbar\Omega(t)(\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{+})$$

 $\Omega(t) = \Omega_x(t)\cos(\omega_d t) + \Omega_y(t)\sin(\omega_d t)$ 

After making the rotating wave approximation (driving frame  $\Delta = \omega_d - \omega$ )

$$\hat{H}_{tr+dr}^{R} = \frac{\hbar\Delta(t)}{2}\hat{Z} + \frac{\hbar\Omega_{x}(t)}{2}\hat{X} + \frac{\hbar\Omega_{y}(t)}{2}\hat{Y}$$
Rotation
operator along
Pauli matrix  $A$ 

$$R_{A}(\theta) = \exp\left[-i\hat{A}\frac{\theta}{2}\right] = \hat{I}\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - i\hat{A}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$$

Complete control in the qubit subspace by using  $\Delta(t)$ ,  $\Omega_x(t)$ , and  $\Omega_y(t)$ 



#### TOMORROW

- TWO-QUBIT GATE FOR SC QUBITS: CROSS RESONANCE
- EXPERIMENTAL SET UP
- QUANTUM EXPERIENCE DEMO



#### IBM RESEARCH QUANTUM EXPERIENCE

## SIGN UP FOR FREE AT https://quantumexperience.ng.bluemix.net

© 2016 IBM Corporation



#### **BUILDING A QUANTUM COMMUNITY: IBM QUANTUM EXPERIENCE**



New papers enabled by IBM Quantum Experience within first month of operation

- arXiv:1605.04220
- arXiv:1605.05709
- Others in preparation!

#### **IBM** Quantum Experience (launched May 2016)

- Free to use
- Sign up at www.ibm.com/quantumcomputing
- Access to real 5Q device. Compare noise & deficiencies of real device to ideal simulation.
- Detailed user guide about quantum computing
- Learn about quantum algorithms, try your own!
- Universities adopting our tool for teaching
- Become part of a growing community of users.

#### ...Just the beginning

- External users to contribute content
- Expanded hardware capabilities
- IBM Research Frontiers Institute to foster closer collaborations with university, industry partners