

Isospectral Riemannian surfaces

Hyunsuk Kang
GIST

ICM2014 Satellite meeting on Geometric Analysis
August 22nd 2014

Consider compact smooth Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) and the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \lambda f$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

Consider compact smooth Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) and the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \lambda f$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

- ▶ The spectrum of Δ is a discrete set $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g^{ij} \sqrt{\det(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \\ &= -g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \text{lower order terms.}\end{aligned}$$

Consider compact smooth Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) and the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \lambda f$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

- ▶ The spectrum of Δ is a discrete set $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g^{ij} \sqrt{\det(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \\ &= -g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \text{lower order terms.}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In case of manifolds with boundary, the eigenfunctions are required to satisfy boundary conditions such as Dirichlet ($u = 0$ on ∂B) or Neumann ($\partial_\nu u = 0$ on ∂B) conditions.

Consider compact smooth Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) and the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \lambda f$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

- ▶ The spectrum of Δ is a discrete set $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g^{ij} \sqrt{\det(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \\ &= -g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \text{lower order terms.}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In case of manifolds with boundary, the eigenfunctions are required to satisfy boundary conditions such as Dirichlet ($u = 0$ on ∂B) or Neumann ($\partial_\nu u = 0$ on ∂B) conditions.
- ▶ The eigenvalues are $k(k + n - 1)$ for (S^n, g_{std}) , $k = \text{degree of harmonic homogeneous polynomial in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

Consider compact smooth Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) and the Laplace equation $\Delta f = \lambda f$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$

- ▶ The spectrum of Δ is a discrete set $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g^{ij} \sqrt{\det(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) \\ &= -g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \text{lower order terms.}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In case of manifolds with boundary, the eigenfunctions are required to satisfy boundary conditions such as Dirichlet ($u = 0$ on ∂B) or Neumann ($\partial_\nu u = 0$ on ∂B) conditions.
- ▶ The eigenvalues are $k(k + n - 1)$ for (S^n, g_{std}) , $k = \text{degree of harmonic homogeneous polynomial in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,
- ▶ $4\pi^2|\gamma|^2$, $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ for flat tori $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{R}^n$.

Except the images under submersion of the manifolds whose spectra are known, very hard to compute explicitly.

Except the images under submersion of the manifolds whose spectra are known, very hard to compute explicitly.

- ▶ In 1966, M. Kac raised a question 'Can one hear the shape of a drum?'
i.e. given the frequencies of vibration on planar domain, can one determine its shape?

Except the images under submersion of the manifolds whose spectra are known, very hard to compute explicitly.

- ▶ In 1966, M. Kac raised a question 'Can one hear the shape of a drum?'
i.e. given the frequencies of vibration on planar domain, can one determine its shape?
- ▶ The answer to the Kac's question is 'no' in general, but can ask 'can one find manifolds with same spectrum with different geometry?'.

Except the images under submersion of the manifolds whose spectra are known, very hard to compute explicitly.

- ▶ In 1966, M. Kac raised a question 'Can one hear the shape of a drum?'
i.e. given the frequencies of vibration on planar domain, can one determine its shape?
- ▶ The answer to the Kac's question is 'no' in general, but can ask 'can one find manifolds with same spectrum with different geometry?'.
- ▶ Spectral geometry deals with the mutual influences between the spectrum of a Riemannian manifold and its geometry
- D. Schueth.

- ▶ Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

► Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

► The first three heat invariants are

$$a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_M R$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{360} \int_M 2\|Riem\|^2 - 2\|Ric\|^2 + 5R^2$$

where $\|Riem\|^2 = \sum_{ijkl} (R_{ijkl})^2$, $\|Ric\|^2 = \sum_{ik} (R_{ijkj})^2$.

- ▶ Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

- ▶ The first three heat invariants are

$$a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_M R$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{360} \int_M 2||Riem||^2 - 2||Ric||^2 + 5R^2$$

$$\text{where } ||Riem||^2 = \sum_{ijkl} (R_{ijkl})^2, \quad ||Ric||^2 = \sum_{ik} (R_{ijkj})^2.$$

- ▶ For surfaces, spectrum determines Euler characteristic (G-B)

- ▶ Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

- ▶ The first three heat invariants are

$$a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_M R$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{360} \int_M 2||\text{Riem}||^2 - 2||\text{Ric}||^2 + 5R^2$$

$$\text{where } ||\text{Riem}||^2 = \sum_{ijkl} (R_{ijkl})^2, \quad ||\text{Ric}||^2 = \sum_{ik} (R_{ikj})^2.$$

- ▶ For surfaces, spectrum determines Euler characteristic (G-B)
- ▶ Round sphere is spectrally determined for $\dim \leq 6$.

- ▶ Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

- ▶ The first three heat invariants are

$$a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_M R$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{360} \int_M 2||Riem||^2 - 2||Ric||^2 + 5R^2$$

$$\text{where } ||Riem||^2 = \sum_{ijkl} (R_{ijkl})^2, \quad ||Ric||^2 = \sum_{ik} (R_{ikj})^2.$$

- ▶ For surfaces, spectrum determines Euler characteristic (G-B)
- ▶ Round sphere is spectrally determined for $\dim \leq 6$.
- ▶ Spectra on functions, one-forms, two-forms determine whether the manifold has a constant sectional curvature.

- ▶ Heat trace determines the spectrum of Δ .

- ▶ The first three heat invariants are

$$a_0 = \text{vol}(M)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{6} \int_M R$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{360} \int_M 2||Riem||^2 - 2||Ric||^2 + 5R^2$$

$$\text{where } ||Riem||^2 = \sum_{ijkl} (R_{ijkl})^2, \quad ||Ric||^2 = \sum_{ik} (R_{ijkj})^2.$$

- ▶ For surfaces, spectrum determines Euler characteristic (G-B)
- ▶ Round sphere is spectrally determined for $\dim \leq 6$.
- ▶ Spectra on functions, one-forms, two-forms determine whether the manifold has a constant sectional curvature.
- ▶ For Einstein manifolds M_1, M_2 with same a_0, a_1, a_2 , if M_1 has constant curvature K , so does M_2 .

Counterexamples/ Developments

Two manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if they have the same eigenvalue spectrum. Non-isometry in each example is proved by ad-hoc method.

Counterexamples/ Developments

Two manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if they have the same eigenvalue spectrum. Non-isometry in each example is proved by ad-hoc method.

[**Milnor** 1964] first example of isospectral manifolds: 16 dimensional flat tori $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_i, g_{can}/\Gamma_i)$, Γ_i are lattices in \mathbb{R}^n .

Counterexamples/ Developments

Two manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if they have the same eigenvalue spectrum. Non-isometry in each example is proved by ad-hoc method.

[**Milnor** 1964] first example of isospectral manifolds: 16 dimensional flat tori $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_i, g_{can}/\Gamma_i)$, Γ_i are lattices in \mathbb{R}^n .

[**McKean** 1972] There are at most finitely many Riemann surfaces with a given spectrum.

Counterexamples/ Developments

Two manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if they have the same eigenvalue spectrum. Non-isometry in each example is proved by ad-hoc method.

[**Milnor** 1964] first example of isospectral manifolds: 16 dimensional flat tori $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_i, g_{can}/\Gamma_i)$, Γ_i are lattices in \mathbb{R}^n .

[**McKean** 1972] There are at most finitely many Riemann surfaces with a given spectrum.

[**Urakawa** 1982] Isospectral bounded non-convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 4$.

Counterexamples/ Developments

Two manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if they have the same eigenvalue spectrum. Non-isometry in each example is proved by ad-hoc method.

[**Milnor** 1964] first example of isospectral manifolds: 16 dimensional flat tori $(\mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma_i, g_{can}/\Gamma_i)$, Γ_i are lattices in \mathbb{R}^n .

[**McKean** 1972] There are at most finitely many Riemann surfaces with a given spectrum.

[**Urakawa** 1982] Isospectral bounded non-convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 4$.

[**Sunada** 1985] Seminal paper 'Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds'.

From this point on, the 'covering technique' is commonly used for construction of isospectral manifolds.

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral surfaces of genus 3 with variable curvature.

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral surfaces of genus 3 with variable curvature.

[**Berárd** 1992] Isospectral non-convex planar domains using the 'transplantation' method which is a version of 'Sunada's theorem'.

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral surfaces of genus 3 with variable curvature.

[**Berárd** 1992] Isospectral non-convex planar domains using the 'transplantation' method which is a version of 'Sunada's theorem'.

[**Gordon-Webb-Wolpert** 1992] Isospectral flat surfaces with boundary and non-convex domains as underlying spaces of 2-dimensional orbifolds.

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral surfaces of genus 3 with variable curvature.

[**Berárd** 1992] Isospectral non-convex planar domains using the 'transplantation' method which is a version of 'Sunada's theorem'.

[**Gordon-Webb-Wolpert** 1992] Isospectral flat surfaces with boundary and non-convex domains as underlying spaces of 2-dimensional orbifolds.

[**Gordon-Webb** 1994] Isospectral convex domains in the Euclidean space for dimension ≥ 4 . (Dirichlet and Neumann isospectral)

[**Buser** 1986, 1992, **Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral Riemann surfaces (const. curv. -1) of genus ≥ 4 .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] Isospectral surfaces of genus 3 with variable curvature.

[**Berárd** 1992] Isospectral non-convex planar domains using the 'transplantation' method which is a version of 'Sunada's theorem'.

[**Gordon-Webb-Wolpert** 1992] Isospectral flat surfaces with boundary and non-convex domains as underlying spaces of 2-dimensional orbifolds.

[**Gordon-Webb** 1994] Isospectral convex domains in the Euclidean space for dimension ≥ 4 . (Dirichlet and Neumann isospectral)

[**Gordon-Webb** 1994] Isospectral convex polygons in the hyperbolic plane (constant curvature $=-1$). (Dirichlet and Neumann isospectral)

[**Gordon et al, Schueth, Szabo** 1993-2005] Using torus action/
Riemannian submersion, produced isospectral manifolds with
different local geometry such as isospectral left-invariant metrics
on $S^m \times S^n$ and $S^m \times B^n$ and other compact Lie groups:

[**Gordon et al, Schueth, Szabo** 1993-2005] Using torus action/
Riemannian submersion, produced isospectral manifolds with
different local geometry such as isospectral left-invariant metrics
on $S^m \times S^n$ and $S^m \times B^n$ and other compact Lie groups:
[**Buser-Conway-Doyle-Semmler** 1994] Isospectral non-convex
planar domains (with fractal boundary) explaining how to
transplant eigenfunction on one domain to the other.

[**Gordon et al, Schueth, Szabo** 1993-2005] Using torus action/
Riemannian submersion, produced isospectral manifolds with
different local geometry such as isospectral left-invariant metrics
on $S^m \times S^n$ and $S^m \times B^n$ and other compact Lie groups:

[**Buser-Conway-Doyle-Semmler** 1994] Isospectral non-convex
planar domains (with fractal boundary) explaining how to
transplant eigenfunction on one domain to the other.

[**Miatello-Rossetti** 1999-] Manifolds isospectral on p-forms

[**Gordon et al, Schueth, Szabo** 1993-2005] Using torus action/
Riemannian submersion, produced isospectral manifolds with
different local geometry such as isospectral left-invariant metrics
on $S^m \times S^n$ and $S^m \times B^n$ and other compact Lie groups:

[**Buser-Conway-Doyle-Semmler** 1994] Isospectral non-convex
planar domains (with fractal boundary) explaining how to
transplant eigenfunction on one domain to the other.

[**Miatello-Rossetti** 1999-] Manifolds isospectral on p-forms

[**Jakobson-Levitin-Nadirashvili-Polterovich** 2006] Isospectral
planar domains with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann conditions whose
spectra are invariant under boundary condition swap. (conjecturing
a disk does not admit Dirichlet-Neumann conditions)

[**Gordon et al, Schueth, Szabo** 1993-2005] Using torus action/ Riemannian submersion, produced isospectral manifolds with different local geometry such as isospectral left-invariant metrics on $S^m \times S^n$ and $S^m \times B^n$ and other compact Lie groups:

[**Buser-Conway-Doyle-Semmler** 1994] Isospectral non-convex planar domains (with fractal boundary) explaining how to transplant eigenfunction on one domain to the other.

[**Miatello-Rossetti** 1999-] Manifolds isospectral on p-forms

[**Jakobson-Levitin-Nadirashvili-Polterovich** 2006] Isospectral planar domains with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann conditions whose spectra are invariant under boundary condition swap. (conjecturing a disk does not admit Dirichlet-Neumann conditions)

[**Barden-K** 2012] Isospectral non-isometric Riemannian surfaces of genus 2, 3 with variable curvature.

Sunada's theorem

Definition

Let G be a finite group and U, V be subgroups of G . Then U and V are said to be *almost conjugate* if $\forall g \in G, |[g] \cap U| = |[g] \cap V|$. If U, V are not conjugate, call (G, U, V) a Sunada triple.

Sunada's theorem

Definition

Let G be a finite group and U, V be subgroups of G . Then U and V are said to be *almost conjugate* if $\forall g \in G, |[g] \cap U| = |[g] \cap V|$. If U, V are not conjugate, call (G, U, V) a Sunada triple.

Example

$T = \Sigma_6$ permutation group on $\{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$.

$$U_1 = \{id, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$$

$$U_2 = \{id, (12)(34), (12)(56), (34)(56)\}$$

Check both U_i meet the conjugacy class of permutations with cycle 2-2-1-1 in 3 elements. So almost conjugate.

U_1 has common fixed points 5, 6 whereas U_2 has none. So non-conjugate.

Theorem

(**Sunada** 1985) *Let G act on a compact Riemannian manifold M by isometries. Suppose that U and V are almost conjugate subgroups of G and U and V act freely on M . Then $U \backslash M$ and $V \backslash M$ are isospectral.*

Theorem

(**Sunada** 1985) *Let G act on a compact Riemannian manifold M by isometries. Suppose that U and V are almost conjugate subgroups of G and U and V act freely on M . Then $U \backslash M$ and $V \backslash M$ are isospectral.*

Remark Giving a ‘bumpy metric’ (no two points have isometric nhds) on $M_0 = G \backslash M$, Sunada showed that isospectral manifolds can be non-isometric. When constructed isospectral manifolds turn out to be isometric, we give a bumpy metric in the common covered manifold to endow non-isometry between covering manifolds.

Isospectral surfaces

- ▶ Apply Sunada's theorem with a Sunada triple (G, U, V) .

Isospectral surfaces

- ▶ Apply Sunada's theorem with a Sunada triple (G, U, V) .
- ▶ Construct a surface M on which G acts as a group of diffeomorphisms.

Isospectral surfaces

- ▶ Apply Sunada's theorem with a Sunada triple (G, U, V) .
- ▶ Construct a surface M on which G acts as a group of diffeomorphisms.
- ▶ Then $\pi_1 : M \rightarrow M_1 = U \backslash M$ and $\pi_2 : M \rightarrow M_2 = V \backslash M$ will be coverings if $\text{Stab}(G) \cap (U \cup V) = \text{Id}$. (so that no fixed points, thus no singularity occurs)

Isospectral surfaces

- ▶ Apply Sunada's theorem with a Sunada triple (G, U, V) .
- ▶ Construct a surface M on which G acts as a group of diffeomorphisms.
- ▶ Then $\pi_1 : M \rightarrow M_1 = U \backslash M$ and $\pi_2 : M \rightarrow M_2 = V \backslash M$ will be coverings if $\text{Stab}(G) \cap (U \cup V) = \text{Id}$. (so that no fixed points, thus no singularity occurs)
- ▶ Using the generators of G and their actions on the cosets of U, V , check the almost conjugacy.

Isospectral surfaces

- ▶ Apply Sunada's theorem with a Sunada triple (G, U, V) .
- ▶ Construct a surface M on which G acts as a group of diffeomorphisms.
- ▶ Then $\pi_1 : M \rightarrow M_1 = U \backslash M$ and $\pi_2 : M \rightarrow M_2 = V \backslash M$ will be coverings if $\text{Stab}(G) \cap (U \cup V) = \text{Id}$. (so that no fixed points, thus no singularity occurs)
- ▶ Using the generators of G and their actions on the cosets of U, V , check the almost conjugacy.
- ▶ To check non-isometry, ad hoc method.

Isospectral surfaces

[**Perlis** 1977] For a Sunada triple, $\text{index}[G:U] \geq 7$.

[**Bosma-de Smit** 2002] Classification of Gassmann-Sunada triples up to index 15.

Isospectral surfaces

[**Perlis** 1977] For a Sunada triple, $\text{index}[G:U] \geq 7$.

[**Bosma-de Smit** 2002] Classification of Gassmann-Sunada triples up to index 15.

- ▶ Both motivated came from number field theory;

Fields derived for U and V have the same zeta function.

\Leftrightarrow G -sets $U \backslash G, V \backslash G$ are *linearly equivalent*, i.e. every $g \in G$ has the same number of fixed points in $U \backslash G, V \backslash G$.

$\Leftrightarrow U, V$ are almost conjugate in G .

[**Brooks-Tse** 1987] constructed genus 3 surfaces of variable curvature.

Use $\mathbf{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ of order 168 has two generators of order 7 with our choice of generators of order 7

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with its product EF has order 7.

Theorem (**Barden-K**)

There are isospectral non-isometric Riemann surfaces of genus 3.

Isospectral surfaces of genus 2

Use the 2-generator group $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ of order 96 realised as a subgroup of A_{12} , group of even permutations on 12 symbols:

$$a = (0\ 7\ 11)(1\ 5\ 6)(2\ 9\ 10)(3\ 4\ 8)$$

$$b = (0\ 4\ 2)(1\ 5\ 9)(3\ 7\ 11)(6\ 10\ 8)$$

$$ab = (0\ 11\ 4\ 6\ 5\ 10)(1\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 3\ 2)$$

\Rightarrow Obtain non-conjugate subgroups U, V of index 12 as direct products of cyclic groups C_2 and C_4 .

$$\Rightarrow \chi(M_i) = 12 \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - 1 \right) = -2$$

[**Barden-K** 2012] Isospectral non-isometric Riemannian surfaces of genus 2 with variable curvature.

- ▶ With singularities of order 3, 3, 6, we do not have figure 8 geodesics as in genus 3 case. However with a continuous deformation of the fundamental domain, one claim:

[Claim] There exist isospectral but non-isometric Riemann surfaces of genus 2.

Future work

- ▶ What additional data other than spectrum is required in order to determine the geometry of Riemannian manifolds, in particular, for Riemann surfaces? In higher dimension, more data will be required.