

Explicit Resolution of Linear Systems in Dimension Three and Applications

Jungkai Alfred Chen
National Taiwan University &
NCTS, Taipei Office

ICM Satellite Conference on Algebraic and Complex Geometry
Aug. 8, 2014

Birational Geometry

- 1 Birational Classification:
Try to classify varieties up to birational equivalence.
- 2 Minimal Model Program:
Try to find good model inside a birational equivalence class.

Birational Geometry

- 1 Birational Classification:
Try to classify varieties up to birational equivalence.
- 2 Minimal Model Program:
Try to find good model inside a birational equivalence class.

Goal: study birational classification theory of threefolds explicitly by using MMP.

Birational Geometry

① Birational Classification:

Try to classify varieties up to birational equivalence.

② Minimal Model Program:

Try to find good model inside a birational equivalence class.

Goal: study birational classification theory of threefolds explicitly by using MMP.

X : complex projective varieties with at worst \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal singularities. $n = \dim(X)$, mostly, $n = 3$.

Iitaka Fibration

If $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, then for $m \gg 0$ and divisible

$$\varphi_m : X \rightarrow Y_m$$

is stable birationally.

Litaka Fibration

If $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, then for $m \gg 0$ and divisible

$$\varphi_m : X \rightarrow Y_m$$

is stable birationally. We call it the litaka fibration.

Itaka Fibration

If $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, then for $m \gg 0$ and divisible

$$\varphi_m : X \rightarrow Y_m$$

is stable birationally. We call it the Itaka fibration. Let F be the general fiber. Then $\kappa(F) = 0$.

Litaka Fibration

If $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, then for $m \gg 0$ and divisible

$$\varphi_m : X \rightarrow Y_m$$

is stable birationally. We call it the litaka fibration. Let F be the general fiber. Then $\kappa(F) = 0$.

Three building blocks:

- varieties with $\kappa = -\infty$;
- varieties with $\kappa = 0$;
- varieties with $\kappa = \dim$, which are varieties of general type

Iitaka fibration

There exist $d(X)$ and $r(X)$ such that φ_m is stabilized (birationally) for $m \geq r(X)$ and divisible by $d(X)$.

Question. Does there exist $d(n)$ and $r(n)$ such that φ_m is stabilized (birationally) for $m \geq r(n)$ and divisible by $d(n)$ for any variety X of dimension n ?

Iitaka fibration

[Hacon-M^cKernan '06, Takayama, Tsuji]

$\kappa(X) = \dim X$, then $r(n)$ exist (and $d(n) = 1$).

[Fujino-Mori '00]

$\kappa(X) = 1$, then $r(n)$ and $d(n)$ exist.

[Viehweg-Zhang '07]

$\kappa(X) = 2$, then $r(n)$ and $d(n)$ exist.

Iitaka fibration

Do we have explicit bound for threefolds?

Iitaka fibration

[Kawamata, Morrison '86]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 0$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \\ = \text{lcm}\{m \mid \phi(m) \leq 20\}.$$

[Ringler 07', D.Q. Zhang-Viehweg]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 2$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 48 \text{ and } d(X) = 12.$$

[Chen-Chen]=[Jungkai Chen & Meng Chen]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 3$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 61.$$

Iitaka fibration

[Kawamata, Morrison '86]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 0$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \\ = \text{lcm}\{m \mid \phi(m) \leq 20\}.$$

[Ringler 07', D.Q. Zhang-Viehweg]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 2$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 48 \text{ and } d(X) = 12.$$

[Chen-Chen]=[Jungkai Chen & Meng Chen]

$$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 3$$

$$\text{Then } r(X) \leq 61.$$

Question 1. For threefolds of general type, what is $r(3)$?

It is well-known that $r(3) \geq 27$.

Iitaka fibration

Threefolds with $\kappa = 1$ [Fujino-Mori '00]

$\dim X = 3, \kappa(X) = 1$

Then exists computable $r(X)$ and $d(X)$.

If general fiber is abelian surface or bielliptic surface, then $r(X) \leq n$ such that

$$-2Nn + \sum \lfloor n \frac{m_i - b_i}{m_i} \rfloor > 0$$

for all $b_i < \min\{m_i, N + 1\}$ with $-2N + \sum \frac{m_i - b_i}{m_i} > 0$ and $N = 2520$.

If general fiber is K3 surface or Enriques, then one needs to compute the bound with $N = \text{lcm}\{m \mid \phi(m) \leq 22\}$.

Threefolds with $\kappa = 1$

$$\chi(m + m_0\mu K_X) - \chi(mK_X) = -2m_0\mu\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + m_0\mu \frac{1}{12} \sum \frac{r_i^2 - 1}{r_i}.$$

On the other hand, if $q(F) = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(m + m_0\mu K_X) &= \chi(f_*(m + m_0\mu)K_X) + \chi(R^2f_*(m + m_0\mu)K_X) \\ &= \chi(f_*(mK_X) + \chi(R^2f_*(mK_X) + d\mu\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) \\ &= \chi(mK_X) + d\mu\chi(\mathcal{O}_F).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\delta := \frac{d}{m_0} = \frac{1}{12} \sum \frac{r_i^2 - 1}{r_i} - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Threefolds with $\kappa = 1$

F : Enriques surface. $\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) = 1$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$.

$$\delta \geq \{c \mid c = \frac{1}{12} \sum \frac{r_i^2 - 1}{r_i} - 2\}.$$

F : K3 surface. $\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) = 2$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0, 1, 2$.

$$\delta \geq \{c \mid c = \frac{1}{24} \sum \frac{r_i^2 - 1}{r_i} - 2\}.$$

$\delta \geq 1/120960$.

Threefolds with $\kappa = 1$

[Chen-Hacon '07] If general fiber is K3 surface or Enriques surface, then $r(X) = 362880$ and $d(X) = 120960$.

Question 2. Is there threefolds with $\kappa = 1$ and $r(X) = 362880$?
The singularities has index 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 16

Geography of Threefolds of General Type

Question 3. What is the distribution of birational invariants?
Are there any non-trivial relation among them?

Canonical Volume

Asymptotic Riemann-Roch formula:

$$P_m(X) = \frac{c}{n!} m^n + l.o.t$$

$$\text{Vol}(X) := c.$$

Canonical Volume

Asymptotic Riemann-Roch formula:

$$P_m(X) = \frac{c}{n!} m^n + l.o.t$$

$$\text{Vol}(X) := c.$$

If X is minimal, i.e. K_X is nef, then $\text{Vol}(X) = K_X^n$.

Canonical Volume

- $\dim X = 1$, $\text{Vol}(X) = \deg(K_X) = 2p_g(X) - 2$.

Canonical Volume

- $\dim X = 1$, $\text{Vol}(X) = \deg(K_X) = 2p_g(X) - 2$.
- $\dim X = 2$. [Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau Inequality]
 $3c_2 - c_1^2 \geq 0$.

$$\text{Vol}(X) \leq 9\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq 9p_g + 9.$$

[Noether Inequality]

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g - 4.$$

Canonical Volume of Higher Dimensions

Difficulties in higher dimensions

- Minimal models exist but contain singularities in dimension 3 or higher.
- $\text{Vol}(X) > 0$ is an integer if $\dim X \leq 2$.
 $\text{Vol}(X) > 0$ is a rational number if $\dim X \geq 3$.
- $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$ could be positive or negative in higher dimensions.

Canonical Volume

X is a Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type. Miyaoka-Yau Inequality yields:

$$\text{Vol}(X) \leq 72\chi(\omega_X) \quad (1)$$

[Meng Chen-Hacon] Suppose furthermore that $p_g(X) > 0$, then

$$\text{Vol}(X) \leq 144p_g(X). \quad (2)$$

If X is non-Gorenstein, by Reid,

$$\text{Vol}(X) \leq 72\chi(\omega_X) + 3 \sum_{i=1}^s \left(r_i - \frac{1}{r_i}\right), \quad (3)$$

where i runs through Reid's basket

$$B(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{r_i}(1, -1, b_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, s \right\}.$$

Noether Inequality

What is the three dimensional analogue of Noether Inequality?

Noether Inequality

What is the three dimensional analogue of Noether Inequality?

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g(X) - 6. \quad (4)$$

Noether Inequality

What is the three dimensional analogue of Noether Inequality?

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g(X) - 6. \quad (4)$$

Kobayashi constructed examples of threefolds with $p_g(X) = 3k + 4$ and $\text{Vol}(X) = 4k + 2$ for $k \geq 1$.

Hence the inequality $\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$ fails in dimension 3.

Noether Inequality

What is the three dimensional analogue of Noether Inequality?

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g(X) - 6. \quad (4)$$

Kobayashi constructed examples of threefolds with $p_g(X) = 3k + 4$ and $\text{Vol}(X) = 4k + 2$ for $k \geq 1$.

Hence the inequality $\text{Vol}(X) \geq 2p_g(X) - 6$ fails in dimension 3.

One can only expect that $\text{Vol}(X) \geq \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$.

Noether Inequality

Theorem (-, Meng Chen)

The inequality

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$$

holds for all projective Gorenstein minimal 3-folds X of general type.

Noether Inequality

Theorem (-, Meng Chen)

The inequality

$$\text{Vol}(X) \geq \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$$

holds for all projective Gorenstein minimal 3-folds X of general type.

We can almost prove the same inequality for minimal 3-folds. The remaining difficulty is when $|K_X|$ induced a fibration to \mathbb{P}^1 fibered by surfaces of (1, 2)-type.

Mori Fiber Spaces and Sarkisov Program

[Corti] Any two birational MFS of dimension three can be connected by a finite sequence of Sarkisov links.

It is measured by Sarkisov degree (c, e, μ) , where c is the canonical threshold, e is the number of crepant divisors, $\mu > 0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z}$.

Mori Fiber Spaces and Sarkisov Program

[Corti] Any two birational MFS of dimension three can be connected by a finite sequence of Sarkisov links.

It is measured by Sarkisov degree (c, e, μ) , where c is the canonical threshold, e is the number of crepant divisors, $\mu > 0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z}$.

Question 4. Can we have an effective Sarkisov program in dimension three?

Questions

It is natural to consider linear system (or pairs) explicitly in various settings:

- (X, \mathcal{H}) in Sarkisov program. Study canonical threshold of (X, \mathcal{H}) .
- $\kappa(X) = 3$ with $Vol = 1/1680$, \mathcal{H} the system obtained from φ_{14} . We need to study the pair (X, \mathcal{H}) . Where the minimal model of \mathcal{H} is a surface of general type of type $(1, 2)$.
- $\kappa(X) = 1$ with F general fiber of litaka fibration. We consider (X, F) .

Terminal Singularities in Dimension Three

$P \in X$ is terminal, then exists a canonical cover

$$(\tilde{P} \in \tilde{X}) \rightarrow (P \in X)$$

so that

$$(P \in X) \cong (\tilde{P} \in \tilde{X})/\mu_r$$

for some cyclic group μ_r of order r .

Terminal Singularities in Dimension Three

$$r = 1,$$

$P \in X$ is an isolated cDV point and Gorenstein.

- ① cA: $(xy + z^{n+1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$.
- ② cD: $(x^2 + y^2z + z^{n-1} + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$.
- ③ cE₆: $(x^2 + y^3 + z^4 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$.
- ④ cE₇: $(x^2 + y^3 + yz^3 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$.
- ⑤ cE₈: $(x^2 + y^3 + z^5 + ug(x, y, z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4$.

Terminal Singularities in Dimension Three

$r > 1$,

$P \in X$ is a quotient of a smooth or an isolated cDV point.

- ① $\mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(a, r-a, 1) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\frac{1}{r}(1, -1, b)$, $(r, a) = (r, b) = 1$.
- ② $cA/r: (xy + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{r}(a, r-a, 1, r)$.
- ③ $cAx/2: (x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 0)$.
- ④ $cAx/4: (x^2 + y^2 + f(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{4}(1, 3, 1, 2)$.
- ⑤ $cD/2: P \in X$ is given by $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 0, 1)$ with φ being one of the following:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + yzu + y^{2a} + u^{2b} + z^c, & a \geq b \geq 2, c \geq 3 \\ x^2 + y^2z + \lambda yu^{2l+1} + f(z, u^2). \end{cases}$$

- ⑥ $cD/3: P \in X$ is given as $(\varphi = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{3}(0, 2, 1, 1)$ with φ being one of the following:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + y^3 + zu(z + u); \\ x^2 + y^3 + zu^2 + yg(z, u) + h(z, u); & g \in \mathfrak{m}^4, h \in \mathfrak{m}^6; \\ x^2 + y^3 + z^3 + yg(z, u) + h(z, u); & g \in \mathfrak{m}^4, h \in \mathfrak{m}^6. \end{cases}$$

- ⑦ $cE/2: (x^2 + y^3 + yg(z, u) + h(z, u) = 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4/\frac{1}{2}(1, 0, 1, 1)$.

Explicit Resolution of Singularities

Theorem

Let X be an algebraic 3-fold with at worst terminal singularities. For any terminal singularity $P \in X$, there exists a sequence of birational morphisms:

$$\tau_P : Y = X_m \rightarrow X_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = X,$$

such that Y is smooth on $\tau_P^{-1}(P)$ and, for all i , the morphism $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ is a divisorial contraction to a singular point $P_i \in X_i$ of index $r_i \geq 1$ with discrepancy $1/r_i$.

Explicit Elimination of Indeterminacies

Suppose that $|M|$ is a moving linear system (i.e. without fixed part) on the given projective terminal 3-fold X with $\text{Bs}|M| \neq \emptyset$.

- (i) If there is a point $P \in \text{Bs}|M| \cap \text{Sing}(X)$, we take a partial resolution $Z_1 \rightarrow X \ni P$ and consider the linear system $|M_1|$, where M_1 is the proper transform of M on Z_1 .
- (ii) Inductively, we will end up with a chain of partial resolutions $Z_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow X$ so that $|M_n|$ is free out of singularities of Z_n .
- (iii) If $|M_n|$ is base point free on Z_n , then we stop.
- (iv) If $|M_n|$ has base points, then $\text{Bs}|M_n|$ consists of smooth points of Z_n . Usual resolution of indeterminacies over $\text{Bs}|M_n|$: $Z_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Z_n$, which is a sequence of blow-ups.
- (v) Thus we end up with a 3-fold Z_k so that $|M_k|$ is base point free.

$$\mu: Z_k \xrightarrow{\tau_k} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_{n+1}} Z_n \xrightarrow{\tau_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_1} X \quad (5)$$

a explicit elimination of indeterminacies of $|M|$.

Explicit Elimination of Indeterminacies

Corollary

Let X and X' be birational threefolds with at worst terminal singularities and X' . There exists Z and birational morphisms $p : Z \rightarrow X$, $q : Z \rightarrow X'$ such that

$$q : Z \rightarrow X'$$

is a explicit elimination of indeterminacies of $|\mathcal{H}_X|$, where \mathcal{H}_X is very ample on X .

Explicit Elimination of Indeterminacies

Corollary

Let $|M|$ be a moving linear system on a terminal 3-fold X and $D \in |M|$ be a general member. Let $\mu : Z_k \rightarrow X$ be the explicit elimination of indeterminacies. Then $2D_{Z_k/X} \geq K_{Z_k/X}$.

Sketch of the Proof of Noether Inequality

Let X be a projective Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type.
Set $d := \dim \varphi_1(X)$.

The following inequalities are already known:

- If $d \neq 2$, then

$$K_X^3 \geq \min\{2p_g(X) - 6, \frac{7}{5}p_g(X) - 2\}$$

by [Meng Chen] and [Catanese–Chen–Zhang].

- If $d = 2$ and X is canonically fibred by curves C of genus $g(C) \geq 3$, then $K_X^3 \geq 2p_g(X) - 4$ by [Meng Chen].
- It remains to consider that X is fibred by curve C of genus 2.

Write $|K_X| = |M| + F$, where $|M|$ is the moving part and F is the fixed part. Let

$$\mu : X' = Z_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow X$$

be the Gorenstein resolution of indeterminacies. Let D be a general member of $|M|$ and $S := D_{X'}$.

$$\mu^* K_X = \mu^* M + \mu^* F = S + D_{X'/X} + \mu^* F.$$

Set $E' := D_{X'/X} + \mu^* F$.

On the surface S , set $L := \mu^*(K_X)|_S$. We also have $S|_S \equiv aC$ where $a \geq p_g(X) - 2$ and C is a general fiber.

$$(\mu^* K_X^2 \cdot S) \geq (\mu^* K_X \cdot_S S) \geq a(L \cdot C) \geq (L \cdot C)(p_g(X) - 2).$$

If $(L \cdot C) \geq 2$, then we have already

$$K_X^3 \geq (\mu^* K_X^2 \cdot S) \geq 2p_g(X) - 4.$$

It remains to consider the case $(L \cdot C) = 1$.

Denote $E'|_S := E'_V + E'_H$, where E'_V is the vertical part and E'_H is the horizontal part. Since $(E'_H \cdot C) = (L \cdot C) = 1$, E'_H is an irreducible curve.

Denote $K_{X'/X}|_S := E_V + E_H$ similarly.

It remains to consider the case $(L \cdot C) = 1$.

Denote $E'|_S := E'_V + E'_H$, where E'_V is the vertical part and E'_H is the horizontal part. Since $(E'_H \cdot C) = (L \cdot C) = 1$, E'_H is an irreducible curve.

Denote $K_{X'/X}|_S := E_V + E_H$ similarly.

By Key Lemma, $E'_H = E_H$ and $2E'_V \geq E_V$.

Let $G := E_H = E'_H$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\mu^* K_X|_S + E'_V) \cdot G \\ &= (\mu^* K_X|_S + S|_S + 2E'_V + E'_H) \cdot G \\ &\geq (\mu^* K_X|_S + S|_S + E_V + E_H) \cdot G \\ &= (K_S \cdot G) \geq -2 - G^2 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^* K_X|_S - E'_V) \cdot G &= (S|_S \cdot G) + (E'_H \cdot G) \\ &= a(C \cdot G) + G^2 \\ &\geq p_g(X) - 2 + G^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we get $3(\mu^*(K_X)|_S \cdot G) \geq p_g(X) - 4$.

Let $G := E_H = E'_H$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\mu^* K_X|_S + E'_V) \cdot G \\ &= (\mu^* K_X|_S + S|_S + 2E'_V + E'_H) \cdot G \\ &\geq (\mu^* K_X|_S + S|_S + E_V + E_H) \cdot G \\ &= (K_S \cdot G) \geq -2 - G^2 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^* K_X|_S - E'_V) \cdot G &= (S|_S \cdot G) + (E'_H \cdot G) \\ &= a(C \cdot G) + G^2 \\ &\geq p_g(X) - 2 + G^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we get $3(\mu^*(K_X)|_S \cdot G) \geq p_g(X) - 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} K_X^3 &= \mu^*(K_X)^3 \geq (\mu^*(K_X)^2 \cdot S) \\ &= (\mu^*(K_X)|_S \cdot S|_S) + (\mu^*(K_X)|_S \cdot E'_V|_S) \\ &\geq (p_g(X) - 2) + \frac{1}{3}(p_g(X) - 4) = \frac{2}{3}(2p_g(X) - 5). \end{aligned}$$

Canonical threshold and Sarkisov degree

Suppose that $P \in D \subset X$ is a singular point, we can define weighted multiplicity as following: Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a divisorial contraction to P . We can write $K_Y = \pi^*K_X + \frac{a}{r}E$ and $D_Y = \pi^*D - \frac{b}{r}E$.

$$wm_P(X, D) := \max\left\{\frac{b}{a} \mid \pi : Y \rightarrow X \ni P\right\}.$$

If P is of type cA , CA/r , $cA_X/2$, $cA_X/4$, cE/r , then $1/wm_P(X, D)$ is the canonical threshold (over P), and all divisorial contractions are weighted blowups.

What P is of type cD/r ?

Thank you for your attention!