# String Junctions in S-fold background & 4d $\mathcal{N} = 3 \text{ SCFTs}$

Prarit Agarwal

Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Autumn Symposium on String Theory, KIAS, 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016

Based on arXiv:1607.00313 with Antonio Amariti

#### Outline

- Brief Summary of recent developments in understanding 4d N = 3 SCFTs
- An explicit counting of degrees of freedom contributing to the central charges
- SUSY enhancement and 1/2-BPS dyonic states
- ► 3-pronged strings in *S*-fold background

## **Recent Developments**

- ▶ 4d N = 3 theories must necessarily be non-Lagrangian
- - 1. central charges are such that a = c
  - 2. no  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  preserving deformations
  - 3. no non-R global symmetries
  - 4. dimension of Coulomb branch operators(CBO) must be  $\geq$  3
  - 5. dimension 2 CBO  $\implies$  SUSY enhancement

#### F-theory construction

- By Inaki Garcia-Etxebarria and Diego Regalado
- k-fold generalization of the M-theory lift of orientifolds
- M-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times (\mathbb{C}^3 \times T^2)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ , k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6
- ►  $T^2 \rightarrow 0$  gives rise to a stack of *N* D3-branes with  $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$  as their transverse space
- ▶ k =1 gives N = 4 SU(N) SYM living on a stack of N D3 branes in flat background
- k=2 gives N D3-branes on top of an orientifold
- ▶ k=3,4,6 gives *N* D3-branes on top of an "S-fold"

M-theory on  $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times (\mathbb{C}^3 \times T^2)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ , k = 3, 4, 6

$$T^2: \ \tau = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}$$

- Claim: This background generically preserves only 12 supercharges
- ▶  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  is embedded diagonally inside  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})_{em} \times SO(6)_R$

▶ 
$$\mathbb{Z}_k \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})_{em}$$
:  
 $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4) \rightarrow \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4), \ \gamma^k = 1$ 

- ►  $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset SO(6)_R$ :  $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4) \to (\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_1, \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_2, \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}Q_3, \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_4)$
- Combined action therefore preserves only 3 sets of supercharges

#### Relationship with Complex Reflection Groups

- ► Aharony and Tachikawa: N D3-branes probing a Z<sub>k</sub> twisted S-fold background are intimately related to the Complex Reflection Group G(k, p, N)
- Complex Reflection Groups generalize Euclidean reflections to the group of reflections in an N dimensional complex vector space with a Hermitian inner product
- For our purposes we can think of them as generalization of Weyl reflection groups of Lie algebras.

## Complex Reflection Groups As Generalized Weyl Reflections

#### Indeed

 $G(1, 1, N) \equiv S_N$ , Weyl subgroup of  $A_{N-1}$  $G(2, 1, N) \equiv$  Weyl subgroup of  $B_N$  and  $C_N$  $G(2, 2, N) \equiv$  Weyl subgroup of  $D_N$ 

- ► Weyl subgroup of the gauge symmetry associated to the *N* = 4 SYM theory living on the world volume of D3-branes probing Z<sub>k</sub>-twisted *S*-fold for k = 1,2
- Claim: G(k, p, N) is the equivalent of "Weyl subgroup" of the gauge symmetry enjoyed by the world-volume theory of D3-branes probing a Z<sub>k</sub>-twisted S-fold

- p corresponds to discrete torsion.
- ► N D3-branes transverse to C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>k</sub> imply that vacuum moduli space of 4d theory is (C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>k</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>
- For k=2, 4d N = 4 theory with SO(2N + 1), Sp(N) or SO(2N) gauge symmetry
- Use R-symmetry and gauge transformations to make all the branes coplanar in the transverse space with positions z<sub>i</sub>, i = 1,..., N
- ▶ Vector multiplet scalars:  $\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_N)$  and  $\langle \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_3 \rangle = 0$

Weyl subgroup of Sp(N):

$$z_i \leftrightarrow z_j$$
, all others fixed,  
 $z_i \rightarrow -z_i$ , all other  $z_a$  fixed

- ► Gives (C/Z<sub>2</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>, by rotating the 3 scalars into each other using R-symmetry, we get (C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>2</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>
- Weyl subgroup of SO(2N):

$$z_i \leftrightarrow z_j,$$
 all others fixed,  
 $(z_i, z_j) \rightarrow (-z_i, -z_j)$  all other  $z_a$  fixed

- Clearly, will not give the same moduli space
- Resolution: Introduce a Z<sub>2</sub> discrete symmetry in the disconnected part of the gauge symmetry
- The gauge symmetry is O(N) rather than SO(N)

This action of G(k, 1, N) on z<sub>i</sub> is given by

- Orbifolding  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by G(k, 1, N) gives  $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_k)^N/S_N$
- ► R-symmetry implies that the vacuum manifold is (C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>k</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>
- $\mathbb{Z}_k$  twisted  $\mathcal{S}$ -fold
- ► Refining the S-fold classification by discrete torsion is equivalent to realizing a Z<sub>p</sub> subgroup of G(k, 1, N) as a discrete gauge group in the disconnected part of the gauge symmetry

- Gives  $G(k, p, N) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$
- Action of G(k, p, N)

$$egin{aligned} & z_i \leftrightarrow z_j, & & \mbox{all others fixed}, \ & & (z_i, z_j) 
ightarrow (\gamma z_i, \gamma^{-1} z_j) & \mbox{all other } z_a \mbox{ fixed} \ & & z_i 
ightarrow \gamma^p z_i, \ \gamma^k = 1 & & \mbox{all other } z_a \mbox{ fixed} \end{aligned}$$

- A-T showed that for k < 6 only p = 1, k are realizable in M-theory. For k = 6, only p = 6 is physical
- When p > 1, there is a additional Z<sub>p</sub> gauge symmetry in a disconnected sector of the gauge group
- ► Z<sub>p</sub> gauge symmetry is such that it only changes the global structure of the gauge symmetry and doe not change the dynamics on ℝ<sup>4</sup>
- Can ungauge the Z<sub>p</sub> symmetry for the purpose of computing central charges
- Ring of Coulomb branch operators is given by the ring of invariants of G(k, p, n)

$$\Delta(\mathcal{O}_i) = k, \ldots, (n-1)k; n\ell, \ \ell = \frac{k}{p}$$

#### Substitute in

$$2a-c=rac{1}{4}\sum_i(2\Delta(\mathcal{O}_i)-1),$$
 $a=c$ 

• We find 
$$4a = 4c = kN^2 + N(2\ell - k - 1)$$

## Explicit counting of degrees of freedom

In N = 2 theories, define effective number of vector multiplets, n<sub>v</sub> and effective number of hypers n<sub>h</sub>, s.t.

$$c = \frac{2n_v + n_h}{12}, \quad a = \frac{5n_v + n_h}{24}$$

For the present case this gives

$$n_v = n_h = 4a = kN^2 + N(2\ell - k - 1)$$

- An N = 2 vector combines with a hyper to form an N = 3 vector
- Define effective number of  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  vectors to be

$$\widetilde{n}_{v}=4a=kN^{2}+N(2\ell-k-1)$$

- For k = 1, 2,  $\tilde{n}_v = \text{dim. of adjoint rep. of the respective gauge group}$
- For k ≥ 3, no such interpretation of n
  v is possible as there is no Lie algebra
- Can still interpret  $\tilde{n}_v$  as the number of fundamental strings stretched between the D3-branes

- The space transverse to the D3-branes is  $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$
- $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$  is *k*-fold connected
- For any pair of branes, suspend a fundamental string along each of the k homotopically distinct paths between them
- ► Each such string gives rise to a state labeled by a 4-vector of electromagnetic charges (n<sub>e</sub><sup>a<sub>1</sub></sup>, n<sub>m</sub><sup>a<sub>1</sub></sup>; n<sub>e</sub><sup>a<sub>2</sub></sup>, n<sub>m</sub><sup>a<sub>2</sub></sup>) with respect to the U(1)<sub>a<sub>1</sub></sub> × U(1)<sub>a<sub>2</sub></sub> gauge symmetry associated to the pair of branes. A string going in the opposite direction, then gives rise to the a state with a conjugate charge vector. See figure 1



Figure 1: The fundamental string stretched between a pair of branes probing an  $S_3$ -fold

- ► For each pair of branes we thus get 2k  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  vector multiplets. In a stack of *N* branes, this gives  $\binom{N}{2} \times 2k = kN(N-1)$  vector multiplets.
- We also have to include strings going from a brane to it self. We conjecture that when, *p* = *k*, the strings going from a brane to itself along non-contractible paths, do not give rise to any vector multiplets and hence do not contribute to the central charges *a*, *c*. This gives rise to 2ℓ − 1, ℓ = <sup>k</sup>/<sub>p</sub> additional vector multiplets for each brane in the stack. See figure 2



Figure 2: The fundamental string stretched from a brane to itself in an  $S_3$ -fold background

The total number of vector multiplets associated to strings suspended between the branes in the stack is therefore given by

$$\widetilde{n}_{v} = kN(N-1) + (2\ell-1)N$$
  
=  $kN^{2} + (2\ell-k-1)N$ 

An equivalent way of drawing the above cartoons is by considering the branes and their images in the S-fold as shown in figure 3



Figure 3: Strings suspended between branes and their images

The state corresponding to a (p, q) string ending on the n-th image of a brane, acquires electromagnetic quantum numbers (n<sub>e</sub>, n<sub>m</sub>) with respect to the corresponding U(1) gauge symmetry, such that

$$n_e + n_m \gamma = (p + q\gamma)\gamma^n, \ \gamma^k = 1$$

#### Rank-2 theories with SUSY enhancement

#### Turns out that

 $G(3,3,2) \equiv S_3$ , Weyl subgroup of SU(3) $G(4,4,2) \equiv$  Weyl subgroup of SO(5) $G(6,6,2) \equiv$  Weyl subgroup of  $G_2$ 

- In each case, the dimension of the CBO corresponding to the generalized pfaffian is 2
- ► A-T: When there are exactly two branes probing the S-fold, there is SUSY enhancement to N = 4 SYM with SU(3), SO(5) and G<sub>2</sub> gauge symmetry respectively
- The spectrum of CBO and central charges also match

## Pair of D3-branes probing $S_3$ -fold

- ► A pair of branes probing S<sub>3</sub>-fold background with no discrete torsion i.e. p = k = 3
- Dual to 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(3)$  SYM
- The manifest U(1)<sup>2</sup> gauge symmetry arising from the branes is NOT isomorphic to the Cartan subgroup of SU(3)
- Admixture of the SU(3) Cartans and their magnetic counterparts

The 6 non-zero roots of SU(3) are dual to

 $(n_e^1, n_m^1; n_e^2, n_m^2) = \pm (1, 0; -1, 0), \ \pm (0, 1; 1, 1), \ \pm (1, 1; 0, 1)$ 



Require that for every state arising from a (p, q)-strings suspended between the branes in the S<sub>3</sub>-fold background, there exists a corresponding dyon of the N = 4 SU(3) theory. This gives us the map between the theory in the S<sub>3</sub>-fold background and the flat background

$$\widetilde{z}_1 = -\omega^2(z_1 - \omega^2 z_2)$$
  
 $\widetilde{z}_2 = \omega(z_1 - \omega z_2)$   
 $\omega : \omega^3 = 1$ 

and

$$\begin{aligned} n_e^1 &= \widetilde{n}_e^1 - \widetilde{n}_m^1 - \widetilde{n}_m^2, \qquad n_m^1 = \widetilde{n}_e^1 + \widetilde{n}_e^2 - \widetilde{n}_m^2 \\ n_e^2 &= \widetilde{n}_e^2 - \widetilde{n}_m^1 - \widetilde{n}_m^2, \qquad n_m^2 = \widetilde{n}_e^1 + \widetilde{n}_e^2 - \widetilde{n}_m^1 \end{aligned}$$

 $(n_e^1, n_m^1; n_e^2, n_m^2)$  and  $(\tilde{n}_e^1, \tilde{n}_m^1; \tilde{n}_e^2, \tilde{n}_m^2)$  are the charge vectors in the  $S_3$ -fold and flat background respectively.

## String Junctions in $S_3$ -fold

- ► For simplicity, consider the 3-pronged string with prongs formed by (1,0), (0,1) and (-1,-1) strings respectively
- In the S<sub>3</sub>-fold background, all three prongs have the same tension ⇒ angle enclosed between any two prongs is <sup>2π</sup>/<sub>3</sub>
- Let (1,0) prong end of the first brane positioned at z<sub>1</sub>, (0,1) prong end on the brane at z<sub>2</sub> and the (−1,−1) prong end on the brane at the image of the second brane, at z'<sub>2</sub> = ωz<sub>2</sub>

- only possible if,
  - 1.  $z_1 = -\lambda \omega^2 z_2$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  with the vertex of the string junction at  $-\omega^2 z_2$
  - 2.  $z_1 = \lambda \omega^2 z_2, \ \lambda > 0$  with the vertex of the string junction at the origin



Figure 4: The two possible configurations for the 3-string

- Compute the mass of the above 3-string configurations and compare it the central charge of the corresponding state
- ► The mass of 3-string configuration in figure 4a matches perfectly with its central charge for all λ > 0. 1/3-BPS state of the N = 3 SUSY manifestly preserved by the background
- ► This configuration corresponds to a monopole of the N = 4 SU(3) SYM. More precisely, to a D1-string stretched from the brane at origin to the brane at Z<sub>1</sub>
- ► The mass of the 3-string configuration in figure 4b is larger than its central charge for all λ > 0. Non-BPS state?

- The map between the electromagnetic charges suggests that this corresponds to a 1/2-BPS magnetic monopole of the N = 4 SU(3) SYM. Such a state should therefore transform non-trivially under the action of at most two sets of supercharges.
- ► The requirement that it be a non-BPS object of N = 3 set-up suggest that it transforms non-trivially under all the three sets of N = 3 supercharges
- Contradiction!
- Conjecture: Such 3-string configurations can not exist in S<sub>3</sub>-fold background

#### Walls of Marginal Stability

- Let  $\lambda \rightarrow 1$  in figure 4a
- The (1,0) prong reduces to zero length



► The (-1, -1) and (0, 1) prongs can now move independent of each other

## Corresponding phenomenon in Flat background

- $\lambda \rightarrow 1$  in  $S_3$ -fold implies  $z_1 \rightarrow -\omega^2 z_2$
- ► The position of the branes in the flat background are then given by: Origin,  $\tilde{z}_1 = 2\omega z_2$  and  $\tilde{z}_2 = \omega z_2$
- The D1-string between the branes at Origin and ž<sub>1</sub> can now break on the brane at ž<sub>2</sub>



- In fact, (−1, −1) prong of the 3-string in S-fold corresponds to a D1-string between the branes at Origin and *z*<sub>2</sub>
- ► (0, 1)-prong corresponds to a D1-string between the branes at *ž*<sub>1</sub> and *ž*<sub>2</sub>
- Wall crossing in S<sub>3</sub>-fold background gets mapped to wall-crossing in the flat background realizing N = 4 SU(3) SYM

## String Junctions in $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(3)$ SYM

- S-string with (1,0), (0,1) and (−1,−1) prongs in flat background
- Let the (−1, −1) prong terminate on the brane at the origin, the (0, 1) prong terminate on the brane at *z*<sub>1</sub> and (1,0) prong terminate on the brane at *z*<sub>2</sub>
- 1/4-BPS state of  $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(3)$  SYM with

$$(\widetilde{n}_e^1, \widetilde{n}_m^1; \widetilde{n}_e^2, \widetilde{n}_m^2) = (0, 1; 1, 0)$$

This maps to a S<sub>3</sub>-fold state with charges

$$(n_e^1, n_m^1; n_e^2, n_m^2) = (1, 1; 0, 0)$$

- This set of charges can only be generated by an F1-string stretched between the brane at z<sub>1</sub> and its image at z'<sub>1</sub> = ωz<sub>1</sub>
- Consistent with the earlier assertion that in the absence of discrete torsion , a fundamental string stretched between a brane and its image does not give rise to an N = 3 vector multiplet

Thank you!

- ▶  $T^2 \rightarrow 0$ , *N* D3-branes transverse to  $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k$
- ► Vacuum moduli space of 4d theory:  $(\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_k)^N/S_N$
- For k=2, 4d N = 4 theory with SO(2N + 1), Sp(N) or SO(2N) gauge symmetry
- ► Use R-symmetry and gauge transformations to make all the branes coplanar in the transverse space with positions z<sub>i</sub>, i = 1,..., N
- Vector multiplet scalars:  $\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = \text{diag}(z_1, \dots, z_N)$  and  $\langle \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle \varphi_3 \rangle = 0$

Weyl subgroup of Sp(N):

$$z_i \leftrightarrow z_j$$
, all others fixed,  
 $z_i \rightarrow -z_i$ , all other  $z_a$  fixed

- ► Gives (C/Z<sub>2</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>, by rotating the 3 scalars into each other using R-symmetry, we get (C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>2</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>
- Weyl subgroup of SO(2N):

$$z_i \leftrightarrow z_j,$$
 all others fixed,  
 $(z_i, z_j) \rightarrow (-z_i, -z_j)$  all other  $z_a$  fixed

- Clearly, will not give the same moduli space
- Resolution: Introduce a Z<sub>2</sub> discrete symmetry in the disconnected part of the gauge symmetry
- The gauge symmetry is O(N) rather than SO(N)

This action of G(k, 1, N) on z<sub>i</sub> is given by

- Orbifolding  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by G(k, 1, N) gives  $(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_k)^N/S_N$
- ► R-symmetry implies that the vacuum manifold is (C<sup>3</sup>/Z<sub>k</sub>)<sup>N</sup>/S<sub>N</sub>
- $\mathbb{Z}_k$  twisted  $\mathcal{S}$ -fold
- ► Refining the S-fold classification by discrete torsion is equivalent to realizing a Z<sub>p</sub> subgroup of G(k, 1, N) as a discrete gauge group in the disconnected part of the gauge symmetry

- Gives  $G(k, p, N) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$
- Action of G(k, p, N)

$$egin{aligned} & z_i \leftrightarrow z_j, & & \mbox{all others fixed}, \ & & (z_i, z_j) 
ightarrow (\gamma z_i, \gamma^{-1} z_j) & \mbox{all other } z_a \mbox{ fixed} \ & & z_i 
ightarrow \gamma^p z_i, \ \gamma^k = 1 & & \mbox{all other } z_a \mbox{ fixed} \end{aligned}$$