

Stringy Differential Geometry and Double Field Theory

Jeong-Hyuck Park

Sogang University, Seoul

Recent Development in Theoretical Physics

KIAS June 2014

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \rightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a **novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector**.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a **novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector**.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \rightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \rightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a **novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector**.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \rightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a **novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector**.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \longrightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \longrightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector.

- In Riemannian geometry, the fundamental object is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$.
 - Diffeomorphism: $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$
 - $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\rho}(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{\mu\nu})$
 - Curvature: $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] \rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \rightarrow R$
- On the other hand, string theory puts $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ on an equal footing, as they form a **multiplet of T-duality**.
- This suggests the existence of a novel **unifying geometric description of them**, generalizing the above Riemannian formalism.
- Basically, Riemannian geometry is for *Particle* theory. *String* theory requires a **novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector**.

- My talk today aims to introduce such a **Stringy Geometry** which is defined in **doubled-yet-gauged** spacetime.
- In four-dimensional spacetime photon has two physical degrees of freedom, but can be best described by a four component vector.
- Similarly, D -dimensional spacetime may be better understood in terms of **doubled-yet-gauged** $(D + D)$ coordinates.

- My talk today aims to introduce such a **Stringy Geometry** which is defined in **doubled-yet-gauged** spacetime.
- In four-dimensional spacetime photon has two physical degrees of freedom, but can be best described by a four component vector.
- Similarly, D -dimensional spacetime may be better understood in terms of **doubled-yet-gauged** $(D + D)$ coordinates.

- My talk today aims to introduce such a **Stringy Geometry** which is defined in **doubled-yet-gauged** spacetime.
- In four-dimensional spacetime photon has two physical degrees of freedom, but can be best described by a four component vector.
- Similarly, D -dimensional spacetime may be better understood in terms of **doubled-yet-gauged** $(D + D)$ coordinates.

- Differential geometry with a projection: Application to double field theory arXiv:1011.1324 JHEP
- Double field formulation of Yang-Mills theory arXiv:1102.0419 PLB
- Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann arXiv:1105.6294 PRD
- Incorporation of fermions into double field theory arXiv:1109.2035 JHEP
- Supersymmetric Double Field Theory: Stringy Reformulation of Supergravity arXiv:1112.0069 PRD Rapid Comm.
- Ramond-Ramond Cohomology and $O(D,D)$ T-duality arXiv:1206.3478 JHEP
- **Stringy Unification of Type IIA and IIB Supergravities under $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory** arXiv:1210.5078 PLB
- Comments on double field theory and diffeomorphisms arXiv:1304.5946 JHEP
- Covariant action for a string in doubled yet gauged spacetime arXiv:1307.8377 NPB

- U-geometry: $SL(5)$ with Yoonji Suh arXiv:1302.1652 JHEP
- M-theory and F-theory from a Duality Manifest Action
with Chris Blair and Emanuel Malek arXiv:1311.5109 JHEP
- U-gravity: $SL(N)$ with Yoonji Suh arXiv:1402.5027 JHEP

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- The low energy effective action of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is well known in terms of Riemannian geometry

$$S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right).$$

- Diffeomorphism and B -field gauge symmetry are manifest,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \delta x^\mu, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu.$$

- Though not manifest, this enjoys T-duality which mixes $\{g_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \phi\}$. Buscher

- Redefine the dilaton,

$$e^{-2d} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}$$

- Set a $(D + D) \times (D + D)$ symmetric matrix, **Duff**

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

- Hereafter, A, B, \dots : ‘doubled’ $(D + D)$ -dimensional vector indices, with $D = 10$ for SUSY.

- T-duality is realized as an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation in doubled spacetime [Tseytlin, Siegel](#)

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} \longrightarrow M_A^C M_B^D \mathcal{H}_{CD}, \quad d \longrightarrow d,$$

where

$$M \in \mathbf{O}(D, D).$$

- $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

freely raises or lowers the $(D + D)$ -dimensional vector indices.

- Hull and Zwiebach (later with Hohm) reformulated the effective action under the name, 'Double Field Theory', in an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ manifest manner:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, Double Field Theory (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D+D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a D -dimensional null hyperplane or 'section', Σ_D .

- Hull and Zwiebach (later with Hohm) reformulated the effective action under the name, 'Double Field Theory', in an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ manifest manner:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, Double Field Theory (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D + D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a D -dimensional null hyperplane or 'section', Σ_D .

- **Hull** and **Zwiebach** (later with **Hohm**) reformulated the effective action under the name, ‘**Double Field Theory**’, in an $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ manifest manner:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d),$$

where

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- Spacetime is formally doubled, $y^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, $A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$.
- Yet, **Double Field Theory** (for NS-NS sector) is a D -dimensional theory written in terms of $(D + D)$ -dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- All the fields MUST live on a **D -dimensional null hyperplane** or ‘**section**’, Σ_D .

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- By stating DFT lives on a D -dimensional null hyperplane, we mean that, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ d'Alembert operator is trivial, acting on arbitrary fields as well as their products:

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0 \quad : \quad \text{section condition}$$

- The origin of the section condition may be traced to the 'level matching condition' of the massless sector on the worldsheet,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \quad \iff \quad \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- By stating DFT lives on a D -dimensional null hyperplane, we mean that, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ d'Alembert operator is trivial, acting on arbitrary fields as well as their products:

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0 \quad : \quad \text{section condition}$$

- The origin of the section condition may be traced to the 'level matching condition' of the massless sector on the worldsheet,

$$p \cdot w \equiv 0 \quad \iff \quad \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- Up to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation, we may further choose to set

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- Then DFT reduces to the effective action:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} \implies S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 \right).$$

Section condition in Double Field Theory

- Up to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation, we may further choose to set

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- Then DFT reduces to the effective action:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} \implies S_{\text{eff.}} = \int_{\Sigma_D} \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left(R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^2 \right).$$

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by **Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm** the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB} .$$

- But the diffeomorphism and the B -field gauge symmetry are unclear.

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by **Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm** the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- But the diffeomorphism and the B -field gauge symmetry are unclear.

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the Riemannian scalar curvature, \hat{R} , in terms of the metric and its derivative.

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- This expression may be analogous to the case of writing the Riemannian scalar curvature, R , in terms of the metric and its derivative.

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- The underlying differential geometry is missing here.

- Thus, in the DFT formulation of the effective action by [Hull, Zwiebach & Hohm](#) the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality structure is manifest,

$$L_{\text{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) = \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left(4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) + 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB}.$$

- The underlying differential geometry is missing here.

In the remaining of this talk, I will try to explain our proposal for

The Stringy Differential Geometry of DFT

In the remaining of this talk, I will try to explain our proposal for

The Stringy Differential Geometry of DFT

- **Key concepts are**
 - **Projector**
 - **Semi-covariant derivative**
 - **Semi-covariant curvature**
 - **And their complete covariantization via ‘projection’**

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Notation

Capital Latin alphabet letters denote the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ vector indices, i.e.

$A, B, C, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, D+D$, which can be freely raised or lowered by the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

The spacetime is formally doubled, being $(D+D)$ -dimensional.

However, **the doubled spacetime is gauged**: the coordinate space is equipped with an *equivalence relation*,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \phi \partial^A \varphi,$$

which we call ‘*coordinate gauge symmetry*’.

Note that ϕ and φ are arbitrary functions in DFT.

- Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

The spacetime is formally doubled, being $(D+D)$ -dimensional.

However, **the doubled spacetime is gauged**: the coordinate space is equipped with an *equivalence relation*,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \phi \partial^A \varphi,$$

which we call ‘*coordinate gauge symmetry*’.

Note that ϕ and φ are arbitrary functions in DFT.

Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit, represents a single physical point, and diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the gauge orbits.

- Realization of the coordinate gauge symmetry.

The equivalence relation is realized in DFT by enforcing that, arbitrary functions and their arbitrary derivatives, denoted here collectively by Φ , are invariant under the coordinate gauge symmetry shift,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x), \quad \Delta^A = \phi \partial^A \varphi.$$

- Section condition.

The invariance under the coordinate gauge symmetry can be shown to be equivalent to the **section condition** ,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \equiv 0 .$$

- Section condition.

The invariance under the coordinate gauge symmetry can be shown to be equivalent to the **section condition** ,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \equiv 0 .$$

Explicitly, acting on arbitrary functions, Φ , Φ' , and their products, we have

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 0 \quad (\text{weak constraint}) ,$$

$$\partial_A \Phi \partial^A \Phi' = 0 \quad (\text{strong constraint}) .$$

- Diffeomorphism.

Diffeomorphism symmetry in $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT is generated by a generalized Lie derivative
Siegel, Courant, Grana

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega_T \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

where ω_T denotes the weight.

- Diffeomorphism.

Diffeomorphism symmetry in $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT is generated by a generalized Lie derivative
Siegel, Courant, Grana

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} := X^B \partial_B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega_T \partial_B X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{A_i} X_B - \partial_B X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1}{}^B A_{i+1} \dots A_n,$$

where ω_T denotes the weight.

In particular, the generalized Lie derivative of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant metric is trivial,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{J}_{AB} = 0.$$

The commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives is closed by C-bracket,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X, Y]_C}, \quad [X, Y]_C^A = X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B.$$

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Dilaton and a pair of two-index projectors.

The **geometric objects** in DFT consist of a **dilation, d** , and a pair of symmetric **projection operators**,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = \delta_A{}^C, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = \delta_A{}^C.$$

Further, the projectors are orthogonal and complementary,

$$P_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = 0, \quad P_{AB} + \bar{P}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- Dilaton and a pair of two-index projectors.

The **geometric objects** in DFT consist of a **dilation, d** , and a pair of symmetric projection operators,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A{}^B P_B{}^C = \delta_A{}^C, \quad \bar{P}_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = \delta_A{}^C.$$

Further, the projectors are orthogonal and complementary,

$$P_A{}^B \bar{P}_B{}^C = 0, \quad P_{AB} + \bar{P}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

Remark: The difference of the two projectors, $P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{AB}$, corresponds to the “generalized metric” which can be also independently defined as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}$, $\mathcal{H}_A{}^B \mathcal{H}_B{}^C = \delta_A{}^C$. However, in supersymmetric double field theories it appears that the projectors are more fundamental than the “generalized metric”.

- Integral measure.

While the projectors are weightless, the dilation gives rise to the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant integral measure with weight one, after exponentiation,

$$e^{-2d} .$$

- Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant Riemann curvature.

We define a semi-covariant derivative,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega_T \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

and

- Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant Riemann curvature.

We define a semi-covariant derivative,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega_T \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

and a semi-covariant Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

Here R_{ABCD} denotes the ordinary "field strength" of a connection,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED}.$$

- Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant Riemann curvature.

We define a semi-covariant derivative,

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega_T \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

and a semi-covariant Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^E{}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} \right).$$

Here R_{ABCD} denotes the ordinary "field strength" of a connection,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED}.$$

We may choose the (torsionless) connection to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{CAB} = & 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ & - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}). \end{aligned}$$

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

The semi-covariant derivative then obeys the Leibniz rule and annihilates the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0.$$

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

The semi-covariant derivative then obeys the Leibniz rule and annihilates the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0.$$

A crucial defining property of the semi-covariant Riemann curvature is that, under arbitrary transformation of the connection, it transforms as total derivative,

$$\delta \mathcal{S}_{ABCD} = \nabla_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \nabla_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB}.$$

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

The semi-covariant derivative then obeys the Leibniz rule and annihilates the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric,

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0.$$

A crucial defining property of the semi-covariant Riemann curvature is that, under arbitrary transformation of the connection, it transforms as total derivative,

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \nabla_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \nabla_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB}.$$

Further, the semi-covariant Riemann curvature satisfies precisely the same symmetric properties as the ordinary Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = S_{[AB][CD]} = S_{CDAB}, \quad S_{[ABC]D} = 0,$$

as well as additional identities concerning the projectors,

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} = 0, \quad P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$S^{AB}{}_{AB} = 0.$$

- The uniqueness of the torsionless connection.

The connection is the unique solution to the following five constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A P_{BC} &= 0, & \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} &= 0, \\ \nabla_A d &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{ACB} &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{BCA} + \Gamma_{CAB} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The uniqueness of the torsionless connection.

The connection is the unique solution to the following five constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A P_{BC} &= 0, & \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} &= 0, \\ \nabla_A d &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{ACB} &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{BCA} + \Gamma_{CAB} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The first two relations are the compatibility conditions with all the geometric objects –or NS-NS sector– in DFT.
- The third constraint is the compatibility condition with the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant constant metric, *i.e.* $\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0$.

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- The uniqueness of the torsionless connection.

The connection is the unique solution to the following five constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A P_{BC} &= 0, & \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} &= 0, \\ \nabla_A d &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{ACB} &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{BCA} + \Gamma_{CAB} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The next cyclic property makes the semi-covariant derivative compatible with the generalized Lie derivative as well as with the C-bracket,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X(\partial) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X(\nabla), \quad [X, Y]_C(\partial) = [X, Y]_C(\nabla).$$

- The last formulae are projection conditions which we impose intentionally in order to ensure the uniqueness.

- The uniqueness of the torsionless connection.

The connection is the unique solution to the following five constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A P_{BC} &= 0, & \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} &= 0, \\ \nabla_A d &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{ACB} &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{BCA} + \Gamma_{CAB} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The next cyclic property makes the semi-covariant derivative compatible with the generalized Lie derivative as well as with the C-bracket,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X(\partial) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X(\nabla), \quad [X, Y]_C(\partial) = [X, Y]_C(\nabla).$$

- The last formulae are projection conditions which we impose intentionally in order to ensure the uniqueness.

- Six-index projection operators.

The six-index projection operators are explicitly,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D},$$

which satisfy the ‘projection’ properties,

$$\mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{GHI}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{DEF} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABC}{}^{GHI}.$$

Further, they are symmetric and traceless,

$$\mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = \mathcal{P}_{DEFABC}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = \mathcal{P}_{A[BC]D[EF]}, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFABC}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{A[BC]D[EF]}, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0.$$

Crucially, [the projection operator dictates the anomalous terms](#) in the diffeomorphic transformations of the semi-covariant derivative and the semi-covariant Riemann curvature,

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BDEF} \partial_D \partial_E X_F T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) S_{ABCD} = 2\nabla_{[A} \left((\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{B][CD]}{}^{EFG} \partial_E \partial_F X_G \right) + 2\nabla_{[C} \left((\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{D][AB]}{}^{EFG} \partial_E \partial_F X_G \right).$$

- Complete covariantizations.

Both the semi-covariant derivative and the semi-covariant Riemann curvature can be fully covariantized, through appropriate contractions with the projectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_C{}^D \bar{P}_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 \dots B_n}, & \quad \bar{P}_C{}^D P_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \dots P_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 \dots B_n}, \\
 P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{BD_1 \dots D_n}, & \quad \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{BD_1 \dots D_n} \quad (\text{divergences}), \\
 P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n}, & \quad \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n} \quad (\text{Laplacians}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Complete covariantizations.

Both the semi-covariant derivative and the semi-covariant Riemann curvature can be fully covariantized, through appropriate contractions with the projectors:

$$\begin{aligned} P_C{}^D \bar{P}_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 \dots B_n}, & \quad \bar{P}_C{}^D P_{A_1}{}^{B_1} \dots P_{A_n}{}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 \dots B_n}, \\ P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{BD_1 \dots D_n}, & \quad \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{BD_1 \dots D_n} \quad (\text{divergences}), \\ P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n}, & \quad \bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}{}^{D_1} \dots P_{C_n}{}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 \dots D_n} \quad (\text{Laplacians}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_A{}^C \bar{P}_B{}^D S_{CED}{}^E \quad (\text{Ricci curvature}),$$

$$(P^{AC} P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC} \bar{P}^{BD}) S_{ABCD} \quad (\text{scalar curvature}).$$

- Action.

The action of $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} (P^{AC} P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC} \bar{P}^{BD}) S_{ABCD},$$

where the integral is taken over a section, Σ_D .

- Action.

The action of $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} (P^{AC} P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC} \bar{P}^{BD}) S_{ABCD},$$

where the integral is taken over a section, Σ_D .

The dilation and the projector equations of motion correspond to the vanishing of the scalar curvature and the Ricci curvature respectively.

- Action.

The action of $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} (P^{AC} P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC} \bar{P}^{BD}) S_{ABCD},$$

where the integral is taken over a section, Σ_D .

The dilation and the projector equations of motion correspond to the vanishing of the scalar curvature and the Ricci curvature respectively.

Note: It is precisely the above expression that allows the '1.5 formalism' to work in the full order supersymmetric extensions of $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$, $D = 10$ [Jeon-Lee-JHP](#)

- Section.

Up to $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ duality rotations, the solution to the section condition is unique. It is a D -dimensional section, Σ_D , characterized by the independence of the dual coordinates, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0,$$

while the whole doubled coordinates are given by

$$x^A = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu),$$

where μ, ν are now D -dimensional indices.

- Riemannian reduction.

To perform the Riemannian reduction to the D -dimensional section, Σ_D , we parametrize the dilation and the projectors in terms of D -dimensional Riemannian metric, $G_{\mu\nu}$, ordinary dilaton, ϕ , and a Kalb-Ramond two-form potential, $B_{\mu\nu}$,

$$P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-2d} = \sqrt{|G|}e^{-2\phi}.$$

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Riemannian reduction.

To perform the Riemannian reduction to the D -dimensional section, Σ_D , we parametrize the dilation and the projectors in terms of D -dimensional Riemannian metric, $G_{\mu\nu}$, ordinary dilaton, ϕ , and a Kalb-Ramond two-form potential, $B_{\mu\nu}$,

$$P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-2d} = \sqrt{|G|}e^{-2\phi}.$$

The DFT scalar curvature then reduces upon the section to

$$(P^{AC}P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC}\bar{P}^{BD})S_{ABCD}\Big|_{\Sigma_D} = R_G + 4\Delta\phi - 4\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{\lambda\mu\nu}H^{\lambda\mu\nu},$$

where as usual, $H_{\lambda\mu\nu} = 3\partial_{[\lambda}B_{\mu\nu]}$.

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Riemannian reduction.

To perform the Riemannian reduction to the D -dimensional section, Σ_D , we parametrize the dilation and the projectors in terms of D -dimensional Riemannian metric, $G_{\mu\nu}$, ordinary dilaton, ϕ , and a Kalb-Ramond two-form potential, $B_{\mu\nu}$,

$$P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{-1} & -G^{-1}B \\ BG^{-1} & G - BG^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-2d} = \sqrt{|G|}e^{-2\phi}.$$

The DFT scalar curvature then reduces upon the section to

$$(P^{AC}P^{BD} - \bar{P}^{AC}\bar{P}^{BD})S_{ABCD}\Big|_{\Sigma_D} = R_G + 4\Delta\phi - 4\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{12}H_{\lambda\mu\nu}H^{\lambda\mu\nu},$$

where as usual, $H_{\lambda\mu\nu} = 3\partial_{[\lambda}B_{\mu\nu]}$.

Up to field redefinitions, the above is the most general parametrization of the "generalized metric", $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}$, when the upper left $D \times D$ block of it is non-degenerate.

- Non-Riemannian backgrounds.

When the upper left $D \times D$ block of $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = (P - \bar{P})_{AB}$ is degenerate – where G^{-1} might be positioned – the Riemannian metric ceases to exist upon the section, Σ_D .

Nevertheless, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT and a doubled sigma model – which I will discuss later – have no problem with describing such a non-Riemannian background.

An extreme example of such a non-Riemannian background is the flat background where

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = (P - \bar{P})_{AB} = \mathcal{I}_{AB}.$$

This is a vacuum solution to the bosonic $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT and the corresponding doubled sigma model reduces to a certain ‘chiral’ sigma model.

Geometric Constitution of Double Field Theory

- Non-Riemannian backgrounds.

When the upper left $D \times D$ block of $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = (P - \bar{P})_{AB}$ is degenerate – where G^{-1} might be positioned – the Riemannian metric ceases to exist upon the section, Σ_D .

Nevertheless, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT and a doubled sigma model – which I will discuss later – have no problem with describing such a non-Riemannian background.

An extreme example of such a non-Riemannian background is the flat background where

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = (P - \bar{P})_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

This is a vacuum solution to the bosonic $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ DFT and the corresponding doubled sigma model reduces to a certain ‘chiral’ sigma model.

Allowing non-Riemannian backgrounds, DFT is NOT a mere reformulation of SUGRA.
c.f. ‘global aspects’ [Berman, Cederwall, Perry, Marques, Kanghoon Lee, Grana](#)

Further Remarks

Based on the differential geometry I just described,

after incorporating fermions and R-R sector,

it is possible to construct, to the full order in fermions,

Type II, or $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 10$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory

of which the Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_q^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_p'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Jeon-Lee-Suh-JHP

Symmetries of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries are realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables:

$$d, V_{Ap}, \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}, \rho^\alpha, \rho^{t\bar{\alpha}}, \psi_p^\alpha, \psi_p^{t\bar{\alpha}}$$

which are $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and *a priori* they are NOT Riemannian, such as metric, B-field, R-R p -forms.

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries are realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables:

$$d, V_{Ap}, \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}, \rho^\alpha, \rho^{t\bar{\alpha}}, \psi_p^\alpha, \psi_p^{t\bar{\alpha}}$$

which are $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and *a priori* they are NOT Riemannian, such as metric, B-field, R-R p -forms.

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- All the bosonic symmetries are realized manifestly and simultaneously.
- For this, it is crucial to have the right field variables:

$$d, V_{Ap}, \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}, \rho^\alpha, \rho^{\prime\bar{\alpha}}, \psi_\rho^\alpha, \psi_\rho^{\prime\bar{\alpha}}$$

which are $O(D, D)$ covariant **genuine DFT-field-variables**, and *a priori* they are NOT Riemannian, such as metric, B-field, R-R p -forms.

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- The theory is chiral with respect to both Local Lorentz groups: $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Consequently, there is no distinction of IIA and IIB \implies Unification of IIA and IIB
- While the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB SUGRA backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- The theory is chiral with respect to both Local Lorentz groups: $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Consequently, there is no distinction of IIA and IIB \implies Unification of IIA and IIB
- While the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB SUGRA backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

Unification of IIA and IIB

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- The theory is chiral with respect to both Local Lorentz groups: $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Consequently, there is no distinction of IIA and IIB \implies Unification of IIA and IIB
- While the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB SUGRA backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- Gauge symmetries
 - 1 DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
 - 2 A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
 - 3 local $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY with 32 supercharges.
- The theory is chiral with respect to both Local Lorentz groups: $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Consequently, there is no distinction of IIA and IIB \implies Unification of IIA and IIB
- While the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB SUGRA backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

Comment 1: String propagates in doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’, 1304.5946

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be realized on worldsheet, 1307.8377

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

Comment 1: String propagates in doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’, 1304.5946

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be realized on worldsheet, 1307.8377

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

Comment 1: String propagates in doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’, 1304.5946

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be realized on worldsheet, 1307.8377

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

Comment 1: String propagates in doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

- After parametrization and integrating out \mathcal{A}_i^M , it can produce either **the standard string action** for the ‘non-degenerate’ Riemannian case,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\bar{h}} h^{ij} \partial_i Y^\mu \partial_j Y^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i Y^\mu \partial_j Y^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_j Y^\mu \right],$$

or **chiral actions** for ‘degenerate’ non-Riemannian cases, e.g. for $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}$,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_j Y^\mu, \quad \partial_i Y^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \epsilon_i^j \partial_j Y^\mu = 0.$$

c.f. Gomis-Ooguri

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition), $x^{ab} = -x^{ba}$
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator
- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits two inequivalent solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 . Blair-Malek-JHP

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition), $x^{ab} = -x^{ba}$
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator
- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits two inequivalent solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 . Blair-Malek-JHP

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition), $x^{ab} = -x^{ba}$
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator
- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits **two inequivalent** solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 . Blair-Malek-JHP

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ Projection
 - ▷ Semi-covariant derivative and curvature
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies IIA and IIB, as well as allows non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Precisely parallel formulation constructed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ under the name, **U-gravity**.

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ Projection
 - ▷ Semi-covariant derivative and curvature
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies IIA and IIB, as well as allows non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Precisely parallel formulation constructed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ under the name, **U-gravity**.

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ Projection
 - ▷ Semi-covariant derivative and curvature
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies IIA and IIB, as well as allows non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Precisely parallel formulation constructed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ under the name, **U-gravity**.

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ Projection
 - ▷ Semi-covariant derivative and curvature
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies IIA and IIB, as well as allows non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Precisely parallel formulation constructed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ under the name, **U-gravity**.

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ Projection
 - ▷ Semi-covariant derivative and curvature
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies IIA and IIB, as well as allows non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Precisely parallel formulation constructed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ under the name, **U-gravity**.

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.
- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.
- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.
- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.
- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.

- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity

- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal

- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.

- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- *DFT cosmology?* Cosmological constant reads $\Lambda e^{-2d} = \Lambda \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi}$.

- The “relaxation” of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Thank you.

The End

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- YES, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- NO, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” [Berman-Cederwall-Perry](#), [Papadopoulos](#) and [Scherk-Schwarz](#) [Geissbuhler](#), [Grana-Marques](#), [Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal](#), [Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest](#) [Berman-Lee](#)

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” [Berman-Cederwall-Perry](#), [Papadopoulos](#) and [Scherk-Schwarz](#) [Geissbuhler](#), [Grana-Marques](#), [Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal](#), [Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest](#) [Berman-Lee](#)

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest Berman-Lee**

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest Berman-Lee**

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” [Berman-Cederwall-Perry](#), [Papadopoulos](#) and [Scherk-Schwarz](#) [Geissbuhler](#), [Grana-Marques](#), [Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal](#), [Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest](#) [Berman-Lee](#)

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f.* **Global aspects** such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest, Berman-Lee**

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for arbitrary functions } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged!** (further remarks to come at the end of this talk).

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for arbitrary functions } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged! (further remarks to come at the end of this talk).

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for arbitrary functions } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an **equivalence relation for the coordinates**,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.

- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged! (further remarks to come at the end of this talk).

- All the fields are required to satisfy the section condition,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi \equiv 0, \quad \partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \equiv 0,$$

which implies an invariance under a shift set by a ‘derivative-index-valued’ vector,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta^A = \varphi \partial^A \varphi' \quad \text{for arbitrary functions } \varphi \text{ and } \varphi'.$$

- The section condition implies, and in fact can be shown to be equivalent to, an equivalence relation for the coordinates,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \varphi \partial^A \varphi'$$

- A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in the coordinate space.
 \implies The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Spacetime is doubled yet gauged! (further remarks to come at the end of this talk).

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\bar{p}}'^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\rho}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

- **Bosons**

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

- **Fermions**

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\rho}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi_{\rho}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector
 - DFT-dilaton: d
 - DFT-vielbeins: $V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{p}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

Index	Representation	Metric (raising/lowering indices)
A, B, \dots	$O(D, D)$ & DFT-diffeom. vector	\mathcal{J}_{AB}
p, q, \dots	$\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ vector	$\eta_{pq} = \text{diag}(- + + \dots +)$
α, β, \dots	$\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ spinor	$C_{+\alpha\beta}, \quad (\gamma^p)^T = C_+ \gamma^p C_+^{-1}$
\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \dots	$\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ vector	$\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \text{diag}(+ - - \dots -)$
$\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$	$\text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ spinor	$\bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}, \quad (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^T = \bar{C}_+ \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \bar{C}_+^{-1}$

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\rho}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

**R-R potential and Fermions carry NOT $(D + D)$ -dimensional
BUT undoubled D -dimensional indices.**

Field contents of Type II SDFT

• Bosons

- NS-NS sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{DFT-dilaton:} \quad d \\ \text{DFT-vielbeins:} \quad V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$
- R-R potential: $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$

• Fermions

- DFT-dilatinos: $\rho^{\alpha}, \quad \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Gravitinos: $\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\alpha}, \quad \psi'_{\rho}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$

A priori, $O(D, D)$ rotates only the $O(D, D)$ vector indices (capital Roman), and the R-R sector and all the fermions are $O(D, D)$ T-duality singlet.

The usual IIA \Leftrightarrow IIB exchange will follow only after fixing a gauge.

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^P + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{P}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^P + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{P}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_p &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_p, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} C \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' C.$$

- The DFT-dilaton gives rise to a scalar density with weight one,

$$e^{-2d}.$$

- The DFT-vielbeins satisfy the **four defining properties**:

$$V_{Ap} V^A{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Ap} \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Ap} V_B{}^p + \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- For fermions, the gravitinos and the DFT-dilatinos are not twenty, but ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c} \psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho &= -\mathbf{c} \rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}' \psi'_{\bar{p}}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' &= -\mathbf{c}' \rho', \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' are arbitrary independent two sign factors, $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{c}'^2 = 1$.

- Lastly for the R-R sector, we set the R-R potential, $C^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}}$, to be in the **bi-fundamental** spinorial representation of $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$. It possesses the chirality,

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{C} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' \mathcal{C}.$$

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAs.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chiralities:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(D+1)}\psi_{\bar{p}} &= \mathbf{c}\psi_{\bar{p}}, & \gamma^{(D+1)}\rho &= -\mathbf{c}\rho, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\psi'_{\rho} &= \mathbf{c}'\psi'_{\rho}, & \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}\rho' &= -\mathbf{c}'\rho', \\ \gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} &= \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'\mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- *A priori* all the possible four different sign choices are equivalent up to $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotations.
- That is to say, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is chiral with respect to both $\mathbf{Pin}(1, D-1)_L$ and $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$, and the theory is unique, unlike IIA/IIB SUGRAS.
- Hence, without loss of generality, we may safely set

$$\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1.$$

- Later we shall see that while the theory is unique, it contains type IIA and IIB supergravity backgrounds as different kind of solutions.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of two-index projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^P V_{B\rho}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{B\rho} = V_{A\rho}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{B\rho} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of two-index projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^p V_{Bp}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{Bp} = V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{Bp} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- The DFT-vielbeins generate **a pair of two-index projectors**,

$$P_{AB} := V_A^P V_{Bp}, \quad P_A^B P_B^C = P_A^C, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} := \bar{V}_A^{\bar{P}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = \bar{P}_A^C,$$

which are symmetric, orthogonal and complementary to each other,

$$P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}, \quad P_A^B \bar{P}_B^C = 0, \quad P_A^B + \bar{P}_A^B = \delta_A^B.$$

- It follows

$$P_A^B V_{Bp} = V_{Ap}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{P}_A^B V_{Bp} = 0, \quad P_A^B \bar{V}_{B\bar{p}} = 0.$$

- Note also

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = P_{AB} - \bar{P}_{AB}.$$

However, our emphasis lies on the ‘projectors’ rather than the “generalized metric”.

- Further, we construct **a pair of six-index projectors**,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

which are symmetric and traceless,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} &= \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CABDEF} &= \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFCAB} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, \\ \mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- As we shall see later, these projection operators play crucial roles, regarding the constructions of the completely covariant derivatives and curvatures.

- Further, we construct **a pair of six-index projectors**,

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := P_C{}^D P_{[A}{}^{[E} P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{[E} P^{F]D}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} := \bar{P}_C{}^D \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1} \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E} \bar{P}^{F]D}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{GHI},$$

which are symmetric and traceless,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} &= \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CABDEF} &= \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFCAB} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{C[AB]D[EF]}, \\ \mathcal{P}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad P^{AB} \mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0, & \bar{\mathcal{P}}^A{}_{ABDEF} &= 0, \quad \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- As we shall see later, these projection operators play crucial roles, regarding the constructions of the completely covariant derivatives and curvatures.

- Having all the ‘right’ field-variables prepared, we now discuss their derivatives or what we call, ‘**semi-covariant derivative**’.
- The meaning of “**semi-covariant**” will be clarified later.

- Having all the ‘right’ field-variables prepared, we now discuss their derivatives or what we call, ‘**semi-covariant derivative**’.

- The meaning of “**semi-covariant**” will be clarified later.

Semi-covariant derivatives

- For each gauge symmetry we assign a corresponding connection,
 - Γ_A for the DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative),
 - Φ_A for the ‘unbarred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$,
 - $\bar{\Phi}_A$ for the ‘barred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Combining all of them, we introduce master ‘semi-covariant’ derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- For each gauge symmetry we assign a corresponding connection,
 - Γ_A for the DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative),
 - Φ_A for the ‘unbarred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$,
 - $\bar{\Phi}_A$ for the ‘barred’ local Lorentz symmetry, $\mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$.
- Combining all of them, we introduce master ‘semi-covariant’ derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A, \quad D_A = \partial_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A.$$

- The former is the ‘semi-covariant’ derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism (set by the generalized Lie derivative),

$$\nabla_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} := \partial_C T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} B A_{i+1} \dots A_n}.$$

- And the latter is the covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz symmetries.

- **By definition, the master derivative annihilates all the ‘constants’,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = \Gamma_{AB}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{DC} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^D \mathcal{J}_{BD} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \eta_{pq} = D_A \eta_{pq} = \Phi_{Ap}{}^r \eta_{rq} + \Phi_{Aq}{}^r \eta_{pr} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = D_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{r}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{r}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{r}} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = D_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = \Phi_{A\alpha}{}^\delta C_{+\delta\beta} + \Phi_{A\beta}{}^\delta C_{+\alpha\delta} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = D_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\delta}\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\delta}} = 0,$$

including the gamma matrices,

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\beta = D_A (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\beta = \Phi_{A\rho}{}^q (\gamma^q)^\alpha{}_\beta + \Phi_{A\alpha}{}^\delta (\gamma^\rho)^\delta{}_\beta - (\gamma^\rho)^\alpha{}_\delta \Phi_A{}^\delta{}_\beta = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = D_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\bar{\delta}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} - (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\delta}} \bar{\Phi}_A{}^{\bar{\delta}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = 0.$$

- It follows then that the connections are all anti-symmetric,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = -\Gamma_{ACB},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = -\Phi_{Aqp}, \quad \Phi_{A\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{A\beta\alpha},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{q}\bar{p}}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = -\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}},$$

and as usual,

$$\Phi_A^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{1}{4} \Phi_{Apq} (\gamma^{pq})^\alpha{}_\beta, \quad \bar{\Phi}_A^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}}.$$

- **Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{B\rho} = \partial_A V_{B\rho} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{C\rho} + \Phi_{A\rho}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^\rho D_A V_{C\rho} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{\rho}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}},$$

$$\Phi_{A\rho q} = V^B{}_\rho \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- **Further, the master derivative is compatible with the whole NS-NS sector,**

$$\mathcal{D}_A d = \nabla_A d := -\frac{1}{2} e^{2d} \nabla_A (e^{-2d}) = \partial_A d + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^B{}_{BA} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A V_{B\rho} = \partial_A V_{B\rho} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C V_{C\rho} + \Phi_{A\rho}{}^q V_{Bq} = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} = \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{\rho}} + \Gamma_{AB}{}^C \bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = 0.$$

- It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_A P_{BC} = \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_A \bar{P}_{BC} = \nabla_A \bar{P}_{BC} = 0,$$

and the connections are related to each other,

$$\Gamma_{ABC} = V_B{}^\rho D_A V_{C\rho} + \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{\rho}} D_A \bar{V}_{C\bar{\rho}},$$

$$\Phi_{A\rho q} = V^B{}_\rho \nabla_A V_{Bq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = & 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ & - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}), \end{aligned}$$

and, with the corresponding derivative, $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$,

$$\Phi_{Apq}^0 = V^B{}_{\rho} \nabla_A^0 V_{Bq} = V^B{}_{\rho} \partial_A V_{Bq} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 V^B{}_{\rho} V^C{}_q,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla_A^0 \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \partial_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{ABC}^0 \bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}^C{}_{\bar{q}}.$$

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The connections assume the following **most general forms**:

$$\Gamma_{CAB} = \Gamma_{CAB}^0 + \Delta_{Cpq} V_A^p V_B^q + \bar{\Delta}_{C\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\Phi_{Apq} = \Phi_{Apq}^0 + \Delta_{Apq},$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}^0 + \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- Further, the extra pieces, Δ_{Apq} and $\bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, correspond to the **torsion** of SDFT, which must be covariant and, in order to maintain $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$, must satisfy

$$\Delta_{Apq} V^{Ap} = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}^{A\bar{p}} = 0.$$

Otherwise they are arbitrary.

- As in SUGRA, the torsion can be constructed from the bi-spinorial objects, e.g.

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma_{pq}\psi_A, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_A\psi_{\bar{q}}, \quad \bar{\rho}\gamma_{Apq}\rho, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{Apq}\psi^{\bar{p}},$$

where we set $\psi_A = \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}$, $\gamma_A = V_A^p\gamma_p$.

- The ‘torsionless’ connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

further obeys

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^0 + \Gamma_{BCA}^0 + \Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 0 ,$$

and

$$P_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 , \quad \bar{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF}^0 = 0 .$$

- In fact, the torsionless connection,

$$\Gamma_{CAB}^0 = 2 (P \partial_C P \bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2 (\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D \bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^D P_{B]}{}^E) \partial_D P_{EC} \\ - \frac{4}{D-1} (\bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^D) (\partial_D d + (P \partial^E P \bar{P})_{[ED]}) ,$$

is uniquely determined by requiring

$$\nabla_A \mathcal{J}_{BC} = 0 \iff \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{CBA} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A P_{BC} = 0 ,$$

$$\nabla_A d = 0 ,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC} + \Gamma_{CAB} + \Gamma_{BCA} = 0 ,$$

$$(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CAB}{}^{DEF} \Gamma_{DEF} = 0 .$$

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad B \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.
- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad B \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.
- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- Having the two symmetric properties, $\Gamma_{A(BC)} = 0$, $\Gamma_{[ABC]} = 0$, we may safely replace ∂_A by $\nabla_A^0 = \partial_A + \Gamma_A^0$ in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X$ and also in $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A$,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{A_1 \dots A_n} = X^B \nabla_B^0 T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \omega \nabla_B^0 X^B T_{A_1 \dots A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i}^0 X_B - \nabla_B^0 X_{A_i}) T_{A_1 \dots A_{i-1} \quad B \quad A_{i+1} \dots A_n},$$

$$[X, Y]_{\mathcal{C}}^A = X^B \nabla_B^0 Y^A - Y^B \nabla_B^0 X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \nabla^{0A} X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \nabla^{0A} Y_B,$$

just like in Riemannian geometry.

- In this way, Γ_{ABC}^0 is the **DFT analogy of the Christoffel connection**.
- Precisely the same expression was later re-derived by **Hohm & Zwiebach**.

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{A\rho r} \Phi_B^r q - \Phi_{B\rho r} \Phi_A^r q,$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_B^{\bar{r}\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_A^{\bar{r}\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{Cp} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A^\rho V_B^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma_{AB}^E \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant curvature**.

- The usual curvatures for the three connections,

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}^E \Gamma_{AED},$$

$$F_{AB\rho q} = \partial_A \Phi_{B\rho q} - \partial_B \Phi_{A\rho q} + \Phi_{A\rho r} \Phi_{B^r q} - \Phi_{B\rho r} \Phi_{A^r q},$$

$$\bar{F}_{AB\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \partial_A \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{q}} - \partial_B \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} + \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_{B^{\bar{r}}\bar{q}} - \bar{\Phi}_{B\bar{p}\bar{r}} \bar{\Phi}_{A^{\bar{r}}\bar{q}},$$

are, from $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]V_{Cp} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]\bar{V}_{C\bar{p}} = 0$, related to each other,

$$R_{ABCD} = F_{CD\rho q} V_A^\rho V_B^q + \bar{F}_{CD\bar{p}\bar{q}} \bar{V}_A^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{q}}.$$

- However, the crucial object in DFT turns out to be

$$S_{ABCD} := \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma_{AB}^E \Gamma_{ECD} \right),$$

which we name **semi-covariant curvature**.

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta\Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta\Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta\Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta\Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta\Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta\Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

Properties of the semi-covariant curvature

- Precisely the same symmetric property as the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S^0_{[ABC]D} = 0.$$

- Projection property,

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \equiv 0.$$

- Under arbitrary variation of the connection, $\delta\Gamma_{ABC}$, it transforms as

$$\delta S_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma_{D]AB} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[ABE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{CD} - \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_{[CDE]} \delta \Gamma^E_{AB},$$

$$\delta S^0_{ABCD} = \mathcal{D}_{[A} \delta \Gamma^0_{B]CD} + \mathcal{D}_{[C} \delta \Gamma^0_{D]AB}.$$

'Semi-covariance'

- Generically, under DFT-diffeomorphisms, $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$, the variation of the semi-covariant derivative contains an anomalous non-covariant part dictated by the six-index projectors,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

- Generically, under DFT-diffeomorphisms, $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$, the variation of the semi-covariant derivative contains an anomalous non-covariant part dictated by the six-index projectors,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

- Generically, under DFT-diffeomorphisms, $\delta_X P_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X P_{AB}$, $\delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$, the variation of the semi-covariant derivative contains an anomalous non-covariant part dictated by the six-index projectors,

$$\delta_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X (\nabla_C T_{A_1 \dots A_n}) + \sum_i 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA_i}{}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_{\dots B \dots}$$

- Hence, it is not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,

$$\delta_X \neq \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X.$$

- However, the characteristic property of our 'semi-covariant' derivative is that, **combined with the projectors it can generate various fully covariant quantities**, as listed below.

- For $O(D, D)$ tensors:

$$P_C^D \bar{P}_{A_1}^{B_1} \bar{P}_{A_2}^{B_2} \dots \bar{P}_{A_n}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$\bar{P}_C^D P_{A_1}^{B_1} P_{A_2}^{B_2} \dots P_{A_n}^{B_n} \nabla_D T_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n},$$

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}^{D_1} P_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A T_{B D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}$$

} Divergences,

$$P^{AB} \bar{P}_{C_1}^{D_1} \bar{P}_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots \bar{P}_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n},$$

$$\bar{P}^{AB} P_{C_1}^{D_1} P_{C_2}^{D_2} \dots P_{C_n}^{D_n} \nabla_A \nabla_B T_{D_1 D_2 \dots D_n}$$

} Laplacians.

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ tensors:

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\rho \bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{\bar{\rho} q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho \mathcal{D}^\rho T_{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 \dots \bar{q}_n},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\bar{\rho}} T_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n},$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{D}_\rho := V^A{}_\rho \mathcal{D}_A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} := \bar{V}^A{}_{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_A.$$

These are the [pull-back](#) of the previous results using the DFT-vielbeins.

- Dirac operators for fermions, $\rho^\alpha, \psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha, \rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}, \psi_{\bar{\rho}}^{\prime\bar{\alpha}}$:

$$\gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_{\rho\rho} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho, \quad \gamma^\rho \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{\rho}},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}\rho}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} = \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A,$$

$$\bar{\psi}^A \gamma_\rho (\mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi_A),$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}\rho'} = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \rho', \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_\rho = \bar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi'_\rho,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\rho\rho'}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\rho \psi'^\rho = \mathcal{D}_A \psi'^A,$$

$$\bar{\psi}'^A \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} (\mathcal{D}_A \psi'_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi'_A).$$

Incorporation of fermions into DFT 1109.2035

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $\mathcal{T}^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathcal{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $\mathcal{T}^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 C.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta C = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta C) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $\mathcal{T}^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- For $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$ bi-fundamental spinorial fields, $\mathcal{T}^\alpha_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} + \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{T} := \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} - \gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{T} \bar{\gamma}^A.$$

- Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent**

$$(\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_-^0)^2 \mathcal{T} \equiv 0,$$

and hence, they define **$\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant cohomology**.

- The field strength of the R-R potential, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C}.$$

- Thanks to the nilpotency, the **R-R gauge symmetry** is simply realized

$$\delta \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 \Delta \quad \implies \quad \delta \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_+^0 (\delta \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \Delta \equiv 0.$$

- **Scalar curvature:**

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}.$$

- **“Ricci” curvature:**

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\bar{r}}\bar{\Delta}_{p\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{2}D_r\Delta_{\bar{q}p}^r,$$

where we set

$$S_{p\bar{q}} := V^A{}_p\bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{q}}S_{AB}, \quad S_{AB} = S_{ACB}{}^C.$$

- **Scalar curvature:**

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}.$$

- **“Ricci” curvature:**

$$S_{\rho\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{r}}\bar{\Delta}_{\rho\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_r\Delta_{\bar{q}\rho}^r,$$

where we set

$$S_{\rho\bar{q}} := V^A{}_{\rho}\bar{V}^B{}_{\bar{q}}S_{AB}, \quad S_{AB} = S_{ACB}{}^C.$$

Combining all the results above, we are now ready to spell

- Type II i.e. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ Supersymmetric Double Field Theory

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_q^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_p'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is fully covariant.

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\alpha}$ denotes the charge conjugation, $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_+^{-1} \mathcal{F}^T C_+$.

- As they are contracted with the DFT-vielbeins properly,
every term in the Lagrangian is fully covariant.

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^p \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- **Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ABC} = & \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{AB} \psi_C \\ & + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_p + 4i \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \psi'_C, \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the solution for **1.5 formalism**.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{AB} \psi_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC}' = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_p - 2i \bar{\psi}'^p \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \psi'_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- **Lagrangian :**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- **Torsions:** The semi-covariant curvature, S_{ABCD} , is given by the connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ABC} = & \Gamma_{ABC}^0 + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho - 2i \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C \\ & + i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' - 2i \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A - i \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\bar{p}} + 4i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C, \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the solution for **1.5 formalism**.

The master derivatives in the fermionic kinetic terms are twofold:

\mathcal{D}_A^* for the unprimed fermions and \mathcal{D}'_A for the primed fermions, set by

$$\Gamma_{ABC}^* = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{ABC} \rho + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{ABC} \psi_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_{A\psi} \psi_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A,$$

$$\Gamma_{ABC}' = \Gamma_{ABC} - i \frac{11}{96} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \rho' + i \frac{5}{4} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{BC} \psi'_A + i \frac{5}{24} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} \psi'_{\bar{p}} - 2i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}_{A\psi'} \psi'_C + i \frac{5}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma_{BC} \psi_A.$$

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry transformation rules are

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\rho + \bar{\varepsilon}'\rho'),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = i\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}(\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p - \bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_p\psi_{\bar{q}}),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = iV_A^q(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_q\psi_{\bar{p}} - \bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\psi'_q),$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon C = i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p - \varepsilon\bar{\rho}' - \psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} + \rho\bar{\varepsilon}') + C\delta_\varepsilon d - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{V}_A^{\bar{q}}\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap})\gamma^{(d+1)}\gamma^p C\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^p\hat{D}_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^p\varepsilon\bar{\psi}'_p\rho' - i\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}}\psi'_p,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho' = -\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\hat{D}'_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho - i\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^p\psi_{\bar{q}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \hat{D}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon + (\mathcal{F} - i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^q\rho\bar{\psi}'_q + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}})\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}\rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi'_p = \hat{D}'_p\varepsilon' + (\bar{\mathcal{F}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\rho'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'^q\bar{\rho}\gamma_q)\gamma_p\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}'_p\rho' + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'_p\bar{\varepsilon}'\rho',$$

where

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{ABC}\rho + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{BC}\psi_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma_{ABC}\psi_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C,$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{ABC} = \Gamma_{ABC} - i\frac{17}{48}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\rho' + i\frac{5}{2}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{BC}\psi'_A + i\frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}}\bar{\gamma}_{ABC}\psi'_{\bar{p}} - 3i\bar{\psi}'_B\bar{\gamma}_A\psi'_C.$$

- **Lagrangian** :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi' q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_q^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo** : It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^p \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_p \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- **Lagrangian** :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} & \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i \bar{\rho} \mathcal{F} \rho' + i \bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}} \gamma_q \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \psi'^q \right. \\ & \left. + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma^q \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}^* \psi_{\bar{p}} - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^* \rho' + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}'^{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^* \psi'_{\bar{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- The Lagrangian is **pseudo** : It is necessary to impose a **self-duality** of the R-R field strength by hand,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\rho} \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{p}} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right) \equiv 0.$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms, disregarding total derivatives, as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} \simeq -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a **nontrivial consistency check**, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^\rho \tilde{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{D}'_{\rho} \bar{\rho}' + \tilde{D}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\rho}} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \right).$$

- Under the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY transformation rule, the Lagrangian transforms, disregarding total derivatives, as

$$\delta_\varepsilon \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} \simeq -\frac{1}{8} e^{-2d} \bar{V}^A_{\bar{q}} \delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} \text{Tr} \left(\gamma^\rho \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_-} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- := \left(1 - \gamma^{(D+1)} \right) \left(\mathcal{F} - i \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\rho}' + i \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\rho \psi_{\bar{q}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \right).$$

This verifies, to the full order in fermions, **the supersymmetric invariance of the action, modulo the self-duality.**

- For a **nontrivial consistency check**, the supersymmetric variation of the self-duality relation is precisely closed by the equations of motion for the gravitinos,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_- = -i \left(\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^\rho \tilde{D}_\rho \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - i \gamma^\rho \varepsilon \left(\tilde{D}'_{\rho} \bar{\rho}' + \tilde{D}'_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} - \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\rho}} \gamma_{\rho} \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \right).$$

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \bar{D}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \bar{D}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \bar{D}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{D}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is DFT-generalization of Einstein equation.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0 ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The 1.5 formalism works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_q = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^{\rho} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_q = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}} \right) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 \left(\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F} \right) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

Equations of Motion for Bosons

- DFT-vielbein:

$$S_{p\bar{q}} + \text{Tr}(\gamma_\rho \mathcal{F} \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}) + i\bar{\rho} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho + 2i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho} - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}} \gamma_\rho \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + i\bar{\rho}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho\rho'} + 2i\bar{\psi}'_{\rho} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{q}} \rho' - i\bar{\psi}'^q \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\rho} \psi'_{\bar{q}} = 0.$$

This is **DFT-generalization of Einstein equation**.

- DFT-dilaton:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = 0.$$

Namely, **the on-shell Lagrangian vanishes!**

- R-R potential:

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = 0,$$

which is automatically **met by the self-duality, together with the nilpotency of \mathcal{D}_+^0** ,

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 (\mathcal{F} - i\rho\bar{\rho}' + i\gamma^r \psi_{\bar{s}} \bar{\psi}'_r \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{D}_-^0 (\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{F}) = -\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{F} = -\gamma^{(D+1)} (\mathcal{D}_+^0)^2 \mathcal{C} = 0.$$

- The **1.5 formalism** works: The variation of the Lagrangian induced by that of the connection is trivial, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = \delta\Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$.

- DFT-dilatonos,

$$\gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho - \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} - \mathcal{F}_{\rho'} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho' - \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi'^{\rho} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\rho} = 0.$$

- Gravitinos,

$$\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho' + \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} - \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- DFT-dilatonos,

$$\gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho - \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi^{\bar{\rho}} - \mathcal{F} \rho' = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho' - \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi'^{\rho} - \bar{\mathcal{F}} \rho = 0.$$

- Gravitinos,

$$\tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \rho + \gamma^{\rho} \tilde{D}_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} - \gamma^{\rho} \mathcal{F} \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{D}_{\rho} \rho' + \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{D}_{\bar{\rho}} \psi'_{\rho} - \tilde{\gamma}^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\mathcal{F}} \gamma_{\rho} \psi_{\bar{\rho}} = 0.$$

- Turning off the primed fermions and the R-R sector truncates the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ SDFT,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}=1} = e^{-2d} \left[\frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \bar{\psi}^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \rho - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^B \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A^* \psi_B \right].$$

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ **Local SUSY:**

$$\delta_\varepsilon d = -i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon} \rho,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V_{Ap} = -i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_p \psi_A,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = i \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_A \psi_{\bar{p}},$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\gamma^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon,$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\bar{p}} = \bar{V}_{\bar{p}}^A \hat{\mathcal{D}}_A \varepsilon - i \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\rho} \psi_{\bar{p}}) \varepsilon + i \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\varepsilon} \rho) \psi_{\bar{p}}.$$

- Commutator of supersymmetry reads

$$[\delta_{\varepsilon_1}, \delta_{\varepsilon_2}] \equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{X_3} + \delta_{\varepsilon_3} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(1,9)_L} + \delta_{\mathbf{so}(9,1)_R} + \delta_{\text{trivial}} .$$

where

$$X_3^A = i\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^A \varepsilon_2, \quad \varepsilon_3 = i\frac{1}{2} [(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma^{\rho} \varepsilon_2) \gamma_{\rho} + (\bar{\rho} \varepsilon_2) \varepsilon_1 - (\bar{\rho} \varepsilon_1) \varepsilon_2], \quad \text{etc.}$$

and δ_{trivial} corresponds to the fermionic equations of motion.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
 - discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,
 - choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
-
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.

Now we are going to

- **parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,**
 - discuss the 'unification' of IIA and IIB,
 - choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
-
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that **SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian ("metric-less") backgrounds.**

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
 - discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,
 - choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
-
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.

Now we are going to

- **parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,**
 - **discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,**
 - **choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,**
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
-
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
 - discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,
 - choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.

Now we are going to

- parametrize the DFT-field-variables in terms of Riemannian variables,
 - discuss the ‘unification’ of IIA and IIB,
 - choose a diagonal gauge of $\text{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \text{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$,
 - and reduce SDFT to SUGRAs.
-
- Nevertheless, we emphasize that **SDFT can describe not only Riemannian (SUGRA) backgrounds but also new type of non-Riemannian (“metric-less”) backgrounds.**

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and B -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $e_\mu{}^p$ and $\bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{p}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_\mu{}^p e_\nu{}^q \eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_\nu{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu p} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_p{}^\nu$, $B_{\mu \bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\nu$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathcal{B} -field.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the DFT-vielbein takes the general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here e_{μ}^{ρ} and $\bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{p}}$ are two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_{\mu}^{\rho} e_{\nu}^{\sigma} \eta_{\rho\sigma} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu\rho} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_{\rho}^{\nu}$, $B_{\mu\bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}^{\nu}$.

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- As stressed before, one of the characteristic features in our construction of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is the usage of the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, genuine DFT-field-variables.
- However, the relation to an ordinary supergravity can be established only after we solve the defining algebraic relations of the DFT-vielbeins and parametrize the solution in terms of Riemannian variables, *i.e.* zehnbeins and \mathcal{B} -field.
- **Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate**, the DFT-vielbein takes the general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{p\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here e_{μ}^p and $\bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{p}}$ are **two copies of the D -dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric**,

$$e_{\mu}^p e_{\nu}^q \eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}^{\bar{p}} \bar{e}_{\nu}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu},$$

and further, $B_{\mu p} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_{p\nu}$, $B_{\mu\bar{p}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}\nu}$.

- Instead, we may choose an alternative parametrization,

$$V_A{}^P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu P} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^P{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{P}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{P}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^{\mu P} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{e}^{-1})^P{}_\nu$, $\beta^{\mu \bar{P}} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{P}}{}_\nu$, and $\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$ correspond to a pair of T-dual vielbeins for winding modes,

$$\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho \tilde{e}^\nu{}_{q\eta}{}^{\rho q} = -\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\tilde{e}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}\eta}{}^{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)^{-1}{}^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Note that in the T-dual winding mode sector, the D -dimensional curved spacetime indices are all upside-down: $\tilde{\chi}_\mu$, $\tilde{e}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{e}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$, $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ (cf. x^μ , $e_\mu{}^P$, $\bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{P}}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$).

- Instead, we may choose an alternative parametrization,

$$V_A{}^P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{\mathbf{e}})^{\mu P} \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^P{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\mu \bar{P}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\bar{P}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta^{\mu P} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{-1})^P{}_\nu$, $\beta^{\mu\bar{P}} = \beta^{\mu\nu}(\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}})^{\bar{P}}{}_\nu$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$ correspond to a pair of T-dual vielbeins for winding modes,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho \tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\nu{}_{q\eta}{}^{\rho q} = -\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\nu{}_{\bar{q}\eta}{}^{\bar{\rho}\bar{q}} = (g - Bg^{-1}B)^{-1}{}^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Note that in the T-dual winding mode sector, the D -dimensional curved spacetime indices are all upside-down: $\tilde{\chi}_\mu$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^\mu{}_\rho$, $\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}}^\mu{}_{\bar{\rho}}$, $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ (cf. x^μ , $\mathbf{e}_\mu{}^\rho$, $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\mu{}^{\bar{\rho}}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$).

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Other parametrizations: Lust, Andriot, Betz, Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Sun *et al.*

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Other parametrizations: Lust, Andriot, Betz, Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Sun *et al.*

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- In connection to the section condition, $\partial^A \partial_A \equiv 0$, the former matches well with the choice, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu} \equiv 0$, while the latter is natural when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv 0$.
- Yet if we consider dimensional reductions from D to lower dimensions, there is no longer preferred parametrization \implies “Non-geometry”
c.f. Other parametrizations: [Lust](#), [Andriot](#), [Betz](#), [Blumenhagen](#), [Fuchs](#), [Sun et al.](#)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p{}^\mu \\ (B + e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}{}^\mu \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \tilde{e})^{\mu p} \\ (\tilde{e}^{-1})^p{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\tilde{e}})^{\mu \bar{p}} \\ (\bar{\tilde{e}}^{-1})^{\bar{p}}{}_\nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, let me emphasize that to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_\mu{}^p$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Furthermore, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds \implies *New type of string theory backgrounds* [1307.8377](#)

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{A\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \check{e})^{\mu\rho} \\ (\check{e}^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\check{e}})^{\mu\rho} \\ (\bar{\check{e}}^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, let me emphasize that **to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure**, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_{\mu}{}^{\rho}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Furthermore, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds \implies **New type of string theory backgrounds** [1307.8377](#)*

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Two parametrizations:

$$V_{A\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_{\rho}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + e)_{\nu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\mu} \\ (B + \bar{e})_{\nu\bar{\rho}} \end{pmatrix}$$

versus

$$V_A{}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \check{e})^{\mu\rho} \\ (\check{e}^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta + \bar{\check{e}})^{\mu\rho} \\ (\bar{\check{e}}^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{\nu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- However, let me emphasize that **to maintain the clear $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant structure**, it is necessary to work with the parametrization-independent, and $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant, DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, rather than the Riemannian variables, $e_{\mu}{}^{\rho}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- Furthermore, 'degenerate' cases are also allowed which lead to genuinely non-Riemannian 'metric-less' backgrounds \implies **New type of string theory backgrounds** 1307.8377

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to Generalized Geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to Generalized Geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A \rho \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to Generalized Geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- From now on, let us restrict ourselves to the former parametrization and impose

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_\mu} \equiv 0.$$

- This reduces (S)DFT to Generalized Geometry

Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram

- For example, the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant Dirac operators become

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \rho - \partial_m \phi \rho \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\bar{p}} \equiv \gamma^m \left(\partial_m \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{24} H_{mnp} \gamma^{np} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{2} H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} - \partial_m \phi \psi_{\bar{p}} \right),$$

$$\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^A_{\bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{A\rho} \equiv \partial_{\bar{p}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \rho,$$

$$\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_A \psi^A \equiv \partial^{\bar{p}} \psi_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} + \bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} \psi^{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8} H_{\bar{p}qr} \gamma^{qr} \psi^{\bar{p}} - 2\partial_{\bar{p}} \phi \psi^{\bar{p}}.$$

- $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{2} H_\mu$ and $\omega_\mu \pm \frac{1}{6} H_\mu$ naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- Since the two zweibeins correspond to the same spacetime metric, they are related by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{q}{}^{\bar{a}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- Further, there is a spinorial representation of this Lorentz rotation,

$$S_e \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} S_e^{-1} = \gamma^{(D+1)} \gamma^{\rho} (e^{-1}\bar{e})_{\rho}{}^{\bar{p}},$$

such that

$$S_e \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_e^{-1} = -\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) \gamma^{(D+1)}.$$

- Since the two zweibeins correspond to the same spacetime metric, they are related by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{p\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{q\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}^{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- Further, there is a spinorial representation of this Lorentz rotation,

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}S_e^{-1} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p(e^{-1}\bar{e})_{p\bar{p}},$$

such that

$$S_e\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}S_e^{-1} = -\det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\gamma^{(D+1)}.$$

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{cc}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{cc}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we ‘fix’ the two zehnbains equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \longrightarrow \det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\mathbf{c}'.$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are then classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- This identification with the ordinary IIA/IIB SUGRAs can be established, if we ‘fix’ the two zehnbains equal to each other,

$$e_{\mu}{}^P \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{P}},$$

using a $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation which may or may not flip the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality,

$$\mathbf{c}' \longrightarrow \det(e^{-1}\bar{e})\mathbf{c}'.$$

Namely, the $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ chirality changes iff $\det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1$.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- **In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.** Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs. Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs. Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs. Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT Riemannian solutions are classified into two groups,

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = +1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIA},$$

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}' \det(e^{-1}\bar{e}) = -1 \quad : \quad \text{type IIB}.$$

- That is to say, formulated in terms of the genuine DFT-field variables, i.e. $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$, etc. the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT is a chiral theory with respect to the pair of local Lorentz groups. The possible four chirality choices are all equivalent and hence the theory is *unique*. We may safely put $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$ without loss of generality.
- However, the theory contains two ‘types’ of Riemannian solutions, as classified above.
- Conversely, any solution in type IIA and type IIB supergravities can be mapped to a solution of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT of fixed chirality e.g. $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}' \equiv +1$.
- **In conclusion, the single unique $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT unifies type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.** Further it allows non-Riemannian solutions.

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the **diagonal gauge**,

$$e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$$

with $\eta_{pq} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT \implies 10D Type II democratic SUGRA

Bergshoeff, et al.; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ SDFT \implies 10D minimal SUGRA *Chamseddine; Bergshoeff et al.*

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- Setting the **diagonal gauge**,

$$e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$$

with $\eta_{pq} = -\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$, $\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} = \gamma^{(D+1)}\gamma^p$, $\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = -\gamma^{(D+1)}$, breaks the local Lorentz symmetry,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

- And it reduces SDFT to SUGRA:

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ **SDFT** \implies **10D Type II democratic SUGRA**

Bergshoeff, *et al.*; Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ $D = 10$ **SDFT** \implies **10D minimal SUGRA** Chamseddine; Bergshoeff *et al.*

- To the full order in fermions, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SDFT reduces to 10D minimal SUGRA:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{10D} = \det e \times e^{-2\phi} & \left[R + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\lambda\mu\nu} H^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right. \\ & + i2\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma^m [\partial_m \rho + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\rho] - i4\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}^p [\partial_p \rho + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{2}H)_{pqr}\gamma^{qr}\rho] \\ & - i2\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}^p \gamma^m [\partial_m \psi_p + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)\gamma^{np}\psi_p + \omega_{mpq}\psi^q - \frac{1}{2}H_{mpq}\psi^q] \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{24}(\bar{\psi}^q \gamma_{mnp}\psi_q)(\bar{\psi}^r \gamma^{mnp}\psi_r) - \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\psi}^q \gamma_{mnp}\psi_q)(\bar{\rho}\gamma^{mnp}\rho) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon \phi = i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}(\rho + \gamma^a \psi_a), \quad \delta_\varepsilon e_\mu^a = i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^a \psi_\mu, \quad \delta_\varepsilon B_{\mu\nu} = -2i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon \rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^a [\partial_a \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{6}H)_{abc}\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon - \partial_a \phi \varepsilon] \\ + i\frac{1}{48}(\bar{\psi}^d \gamma_{abc}\psi_d)\gamma^{abc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{192}(\bar{\rho}\gamma_{abc}\rho)\gamma^{abc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[a}\psi_{b]})\gamma^{ab}\rho, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon \psi_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\partial_a \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}(\omega + \frac{1}{2}H)_{abc}\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon] \\ - i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\rho}\varepsilon)\psi_a - i\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\rho}\psi_a)\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{8}(\bar{\rho}\gamma_{bc}\psi_a)\gamma^{bc}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{[b}\psi_{c]})\gamma^{bc}\psi_a. \end{aligned}$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \implies \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \implies \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

- After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$C \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}_+^0 C \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum'_p \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{p+1}}$$

where \sum'_p denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_p} = p \left(D_{[a_1} C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi C_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!} H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} C_{a_4 \dots a_p]}$$

- The pair of nilpotent differential operators, \mathcal{D}_+^0 and \mathcal{D}_-^0 , reduce to a ‘twisted K-theory’ exterior derivative and its dual, after the diagonal gauge fixing,

$$\mathcal{D}_+^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d + (H - d\phi) \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad * [d + (H - d\phi) \wedge] *$$

- In this way, **ordinary SUGRA** \equiv **gauge-fixed SDFT**,

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The diagonal gauge, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, is **incompatible** with the vectorial $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule of the DFT-vielbein.
- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge, it is necessary to modify the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule.

Modifying $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule

- The $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation must accompany a **compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ local Lorentz rotation**, $\bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}}, S_{\bar{L}}^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}$ which we can construct explicitly,

$$\bar{L} = \bar{e}^{-1} [\mathbf{a}^t - (g + B)\mathbf{b}^t] [\mathbf{a}^t + (g - B)\mathbf{b}^t]^{-1} \bar{e}, \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}^{\bar{p}} = S_{\bar{L}}^{-1} \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} S_{\bar{L}},$$

where \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are parameters of a given $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ group element,

$$M_A^B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu} & \mathbf{b}^{\mu\sigma} \\ \mathbf{c}_{\rho\nu} & \mathbf{d}_{\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Modified $O(D, D)$ Transformation Rule After The Diagonal Gauge Fixing

d	\longrightarrow	d
$V_A{}^\rho$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B V_B{}^\rho$
$\bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{\rho}}$	\longrightarrow	$M_A{}^B \bar{V}_B{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{L}_{\bar{q}}{}^{\bar{\rho}}$
$C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$C^\alpha{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_L^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}, \mathcal{F}^\alpha{}_{\bar{\beta}} (S_L^{-1})^{\bar{\beta}}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$
ρ^α	\longrightarrow	ρ^α
$\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_L)^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \rho'^{\bar{\beta}}$
$\psi_{\bar{\rho}}^\alpha$	\longrightarrow	$(\bar{L}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{q}} \psi_{\bar{q}}^\alpha$
$\psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\alpha}}$	\longrightarrow	$(S_L)^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \psi'_{\bar{\rho}}{}^{\bar{\beta}}$

- All the barred indices are now to be rotated. Consistent with Hassan
- The R-R sector can be also mapped to $O(D, D)$ spinors.

Fukuma, Oota Tanaka; Hohm, Kwak, Zwiebach

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

It is an artifact of the diagonal gauge fixing.

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

It is an artifact of the diagonal gauge fixing.

- **If and only if $\det(\bar{L}) = -1$, the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since**

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L}) S_{\bar{L}} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}.$$

- Thus, the mechanism above naturally realizes the exchange of Type IIA and IIB supergravities under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality.
- However, since \bar{L} explicitly depends on the parametrization of $V_{A\rho}$ and $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$, it is impossible to impose the modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule from the beginning on the parametrization-independent covariant formalism.

It is an artifact of the diagonal gauge fixing.

- With the semi-covariant derivative, we may construct YM-DFT :

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B], \quad \mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{YM}} &= \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) \\ &\equiv \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

- Action for 'double' D-brane Hull; Albertsson, Dai, Kao, Lin

- With the semi-covariant derivative, we may construct YM-DFT :

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} := \nabla_A \mathcal{V}_B - \nabla_B \mathcal{V}_A - i[\mathcal{V}_A, \mathcal{V}_B], \quad \mathcal{V}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\lambda \\ A_\mu + B_{\mu\nu} \phi^\nu \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\text{YM}} &= \int_{\Sigma_D} e^{-2d} \text{Tr} \left(P^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD} \mathcal{F}_{AC} \mathcal{F}_{BD} \right) \\ &\equiv \int dx^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \text{Tr} \left(f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\mu \phi^\nu + 2D_\mu \phi_\nu D^\nu \phi^\mu + 2i f_{\mu\nu} [\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\phi_\mu, \phi_\nu][\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu] + 2(f^{\mu\nu} + i[\phi^\mu, \phi^\nu]) H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \phi^\sigma + H_{\mu\nu\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\tau} \phi^\sigma \phi^\tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Similar to topologically twisted Yang-Mills, but differs in detail.
- Curved D -branes are known to convert adjoint scalars into one-form,

$$\phi^a \rightarrow \phi_\mu, \quad \text{Bershadsky}$$

- Action for ‘double’ D-brane Hull; Albertsson, Dai, Kao, Lin

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Consequently, finite transformation rules are not unique.

For example, *the exponentiation of the generalized Lie derivative* and a simple *ansatz* proposed by Hohm-Zwiebach. These two appear different but are fully equivalent to each other, up to the coordinate gauge symmetry.

1304.5946. (see also Berman-Cederwall-Perry)

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Consequently, finite transformation rules are not unique.

For example, *the exponentiation of the generalized Lie derivative* and a simple *ansatz* proposed by Hohm-Zwiebach. These two appear different but are fully equivalent to each other, up to the coordinate gauge symmetry.

1304.5946. (see also Berman-Cederwall-Perry)

- The section condition is equivalent to the ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \varphi \partial^M \varphi'.$$

A ‘physical point’ is one-to-one identified with a ‘gauge orbit’ in coordinate space.

- The diffeomorphism symmetry means an invariance under arbitrary reparametrizations of the ‘gauge orbits’.
- Consequently, finite transformation rules are not unique.

For example, *the exponentiation of the generalized Lie derivative* and a simple *ansatz* proposed by Hohm-Zwiebach. These two appear different but are fully equivalent to each other, up to the coordinate gauge symmetry.

1304.5946. (see also Berman-Cederwall-Perry)

- **String propagates in a doubled-yet-gauged spacetime, 1307.8377**

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}D_iX^M D_jX^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij}D_iX^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_iX^M = \partial_iX^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

- Further, after parametrization and integrating out \mathcal{A}_i^M , it can produce either the **standard string action** for the ‘non-degenerate’ Riemannian case,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu \right],$$

or **novel chiral actions** for ‘degenerate’ non-Riemannian cases, e.g. for $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}$,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu, \quad \partial_iY^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h}}\epsilon_i^j \partial_jY^\mu = 0.$$

- String propagates in a doubled-yet-gauged spacetime, 1307.8377

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}D_iX^M D_jX^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij}D_iX^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_iX^M = \partial_iX^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

- Further, after parametrization and integrating out \mathcal{A}_i^M , it can produce either the standard string action for the ‘non-degenerate’ Riemannian case,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu \right],$$

or novel chiral actions for ‘degenerate’ non-Riemannian cases, e.g. for $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}$,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu, \quad \partial_iY^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h}}\epsilon_i^j \partial_jY^\mu = 0.$$

- String propagates in a doubled-yet-gauged spacetime, 1307.8377

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}D_iX^M D_jX^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij}D_iX^M \mathcal{A}_{jM},$$

where

$$D_iX^M = \partial_iX^M - \mathcal{A}_i^M, \quad \mathcal{A}_i^M \partial_M \equiv 0.$$

- The Lagrangian is symmetric with respect to the string worldsheet diffeomorphisms, Weyl symmetry, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ T-duality, target spacetime generalized diffeomorphisms and the coordinate gauge symmetry, thanks to the auxiliary gauge field, \mathcal{A}_i^M .

c.f. Hull; Tseytlin; Copland, Berman, Thompson; Nibbelink, Patalong; Blair, Malek, Routh

- Further, after parametrization and integrating out \mathcal{A}_i^M , it can produce either the standard string action for the ‘non-degenerate’ Riemannian case,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_iY^\mu \partial_jY^\nu B_{\mu\nu}(Y) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu \right],$$

or novel chiral actions for ‘degenerate’ non-Riemannian cases, e.g. for $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}$,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \mathcal{L} \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i\tilde{Y}_\mu \partial_jY^\mu, \quad \partial_iY^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h}}\epsilon_i^j \partial_jY^\mu = 0.$$

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition)
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator

- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits two inequivalent solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 .

Blair+Malek+JHP. (c.f. Hohm+Samtleben)

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition)
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator
- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits two inequivalent solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 .

Blair+Malek+JHP. (c.f. Hohm+Samtleben)

- Precisely analogous formalism has been developed for $\mathbf{SL}(N)$, $N \neq 4$.
 - Extended-yet-gauged spacetime (\equiv section condition)
 - Diffeomorphism generated by a generalized Lie derivative
 - Semi-covariant derivative and semi-covariant curvature
 - Complete covariantizations of them dictated by a projection operator
- The action of $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ U-gravity is given by the fully covariant scalar curvature,

$$\int_{\Sigma} M^{4-N} S,$$

where $M = \det(M_{ab})$ and the integral is taken over a section, Σ .

- Up to $\mathbf{SL}(N)$ duality rotations, the section condition admits two inequivalent solutions, $(N-1)$ -dimensional Σ_{N-1} and three-dimensional Σ_3 .

Blair+Malek+JHP. (c.f. Hohm+Samtleben)

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- The fundamental field-variables of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{Ap}V_B^p$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}\bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- The fundamental field-variables of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{Ap}V_B^p$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}\bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, V_{Ap} , $\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{Ap}V_B^p$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}\bar{V}_B^{\bar{p}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{A\rho} V_B^\rho$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{\rho}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*

Summary

- Riemannian geometry is for *particle* theory. *String* theory requires a novel differential geometry which geometrizes the whole NS-NS sector and underlies DFT.
- The **fundamental field-variables** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT are, besides the fermions, the DFT-dilaton, d , DFT-vielbeins, $V_{A\rho}$, $\bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}}$, and the R-R potential, $C^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$.
- Novel differential geometric ingredients:
 - ▷ projectors, $P_{AB} = V_{A\rho} V_B^\rho$, $\bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{V}_{A\bar{\rho}} \bar{V}_B^{\bar{\rho}}$, and semi-covariant derivative.
 - ▷ Spacetime being doubled-yet-gauged (section condition).
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT manifests simultaneously the symmetric structures:
 - ▷ $\mathbf{O}(10, 10)$ *T-duality*
 - ▷ *DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)*
 - ▷ *A pair of local Lorentz symmetries, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R$*

Conclusion

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT contains not only Riemannian SUGRA backgrounds but also non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- While the theory is unique, the Riemannian solutions are twofold.
 \implies Unification of IIA and IIB.
- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ singlet.
Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the known exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Conclusion

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SDFT contains not only Riemannian SUGRA backgrounds but also non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- While the theory is unique, the Riemannian solutions are twofold.
 \implies Unification of IIA and IIB.
- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SDFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ singlet.
Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the known exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Conclusion

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT contains not only Riemannian SUGRA backgrounds but also non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- While the theory is unique, the Riemannian solutions are twofold.
 \implies Unification of IIA and IIB.
- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ singlet.
Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^\rho \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{\rho}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the known exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Conclusion

- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $D = 10$ SFT contains not only Riemannian SUGRA backgrounds but also non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- While the theory is unique, the Riemannian solutions are twofold.
 \implies Unification of IIA and IIB.
- After parametrizing the DFT field-variables in terms of Riemannian ones and taking the diagonal gauge, $\mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(9, 1)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Spin}(1, 9)_D$, SFT reduces to SUGRA.
- *A priori*, in the covariant formalism, the R-R sector and the fermions are $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ singlet.
Yet, the diagonal gauge fixing, $e_\mu{}^p \equiv \bar{e}_\mu{}^{\bar{p}}$, modifies the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ transformation rule to call for a compensating $\mathbf{Pin}(D-1, 1)_R$ rotation, which may flip the chirality of the theory, resulting in the known exchange of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs.

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- YES, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- NO, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” [Berman-Cederwall-Perry](#), [Papadopoulos](#) and [Scherk-Schwarz](#) [Geissbuhler](#), [Grana-Marques](#), [Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal](#), [Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest](#) [Berman-Lee](#)

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f.* **Global aspects** such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest, Berman-Lee**

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest Berman-Lee**

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest Berman-Lee**

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f. Global aspects* such as “non-geometry” [Berman-Cederwall-Perry](#), [Papadopoulos](#) and [Scherk-Schwarz](#) [Geissbuhler](#), [Grana-Marques](#), [Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal](#), [Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest](#) [Berman-Lee](#)

Question: Is DFT a mere reformulation of SUGRA?

- **YES**, if we take the following as a definition of the generalized metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **NO**, if we define the generalized metric as a symmetric $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ element,

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{BA}, \quad \mathcal{H}_A{}^C \mathcal{H}_B{}^D \mathcal{J}_{CD} = \mathcal{J}_{AB}.$$

- With this abstract definition, DFT as well as a sigma model (which I will discuss later) perfectly make sense.
- It may then describe a novel **non-Riemannian** string theory backgrounds, e.g.

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{J}_{AB},$$

which does not admit any Riemannian interpretation!

- *c.f.* **Global aspects** such as “non-geometry” **Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Papadopoulos** and Scherk-Schwarz **Geissbuhler, Grana-Marques, Aldazabal-Grana-Marques-Rosabal, Dibitetto-Fernandez-Melgarejo-Marques-Roest, Berman-Lee**

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian ‘metric-less’ backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Outlook

- Further study and classification of the non-Riemannian, metric-less backgrounds.
- Quantization of the string action on doubled-yet-gauged spacetime.
- $O(10, 10)$ covariant Killing spinor equation: SUSY and T-duality are compatible.
- The relaxation of the section condition: Geissbuhler; Graña, Marqués, Aldazabal; Berman, Musaev, Blair, Malek, Perry; Berman, Kanghoon Lee for Scherk-Schwarz and Blumenhagen, Fuchs, Lust, Sun for non-associativity
- The uplift of type II SDFT to \mathcal{M} -theory, or the extension of T-duality to U-duality: West (E_{11}); Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai, Riccioni, Steele; Cook; Aldazabal, Graña, Marqués, Rosabal
- U-duality manifest \mathcal{M} -theory effective actions: Berman-Perry; Thompson, Godazgar²; JHP-Suh (U-gravity); Cederwall, Edlund, Karlsson; Musaev; Hohm-Samtleben

Thank you.

The End